DEC 23 1946

NATIONAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE FOR AERONAUTICS

WARTIME REPORT

ORIGINALLY ISSUED

May 1944 as
Advance Restricted Report E4E25

KNOCK-LIMITED PERFORMANCE OF PURE HYDROCARBONS BLENDED WITH A BASE

FUEL IN A FULL-SCALE AIRCRAFT-ENGINE CYLINDER

I - EIGHT PARAFFINS, TWO OLEFINS

By Anthony W. Jones and Arthur W. Bull

Aircraft Engine Research Laboratory
Cleveland, Ohio

FOR

NOT TO BE

NACA

WASHINGTON

NACA ARR No. E4E25

NATIONAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE FOR AERONAUTICS

———————

ADVANCE RESTRICTED REPORT

———————

KNOCK-LIMITED PERFORMANCE OF PURE HYDROCARBONS BLENDED WITH A BASE

FUEL IN A FULL-SCALE AIRCRAFT-ENGINE CYLINDER

I - EIGHT PARAFFINS, TWO OLEFINS

By Anthony W. Jones and Arthur W. Bull

SUMMARY

Object. - To determine the knock-limited performance in a full-scale aircraft-engine cylinder of leaded blends of 10 pure hydrocarbons and a base fuel.

Scope. - Tests that are part of a program to determine the anti-knock effectiveness of additions of pure hydrocarbons to aircraft-engine fuels were conducted on a Wright R-1820 G200 cylinder at two operating conditions: cruise rating at 2000 rpm and an inlet-air temperature of 210° F and take-off rating at 2500 rpm and an inlet-air temperature of 250° F (CRC conditions). The following fuels were tested:

> 2,2-dimethylbutane (neohexane)
> 2,3-dimethylbutane (diisopropyl)
> 2-methylbutane (isopentane)
> 2,2,3-trimethylbutane (triptane)
> 2,2,4,4-tetramethylpentane
> 2,3,3-trimethylpentane
> 2,2,3-trimethylpentane
> 2,3,4-trimethylpentane
> 2,4,4-trimethyl-1-pentene
> 2,4,4-trimethyl-2-pentene
> PPF-821
> AN-F-29 (140-P) aviation gasoline
> NACA base fuel (85 percent S-3 and
>   15 percent M-3 plus 4 ml TEL/gal)

Reference-fuel curves were obtained to bracket the test-fuel curves. Wright cylinder ratings are compared with F-3 and F-4 ratings.

Summary of results. - The results obtained from the tests of the 10 pure hydrocarbons and the NACA base fuel at two fuel-air ratios are summarized in the following table:

RATINGS OBTAINED IN A WRIGHT R-1820 G200 CYLINDER

[For each compound there are three rows of values. The first row is imep, lb/sq in.; the second is tetraethyl lead in S reference fuel, ml/gal; and the third is performance number.]

Compound	Concentration in base fuel (percent)	Engine speed, 2000 rpm; inlet-air temperature, 210° F		Engine speed, 2500 rpm; inlet-air temperature, 250° F	
		Fuel-air ratio		Fuel-air ratio	
		0.07	0.10	0.07	0.10
2,2-dimethylbutane (neohexane)	25	176	225	170	226
		0.58	0.59	0.48	0.44
		118	118	115	114
2,3-dimethylbutane (diisopropyl)	25	197	237	192	238
		1.25	0.95	1.15	0.75
		130	125	128	121
2-methylbutane (isopentane)	25	174	233	162	234
		0.55	0.80	0.37	0.62
		117	122	112	119
2,2,3-trimethylbutane (triptane)	25	204	272	202	272
		1.58	2.69	1.76	2.33
		134	144	136	142
2,2,4,4-tetramethyl-pentane	25	160	209	163	209
		0.35	0.29	0.38	0.23
		112	110	113	108
2,3,3-trimethylpentane	25	188	257	187	257
		0.87	1.87	0.89	1.66
		124	137	124	135
2,2,3-trimethylpentane	25	196	268	188	266
		1.21	2.45	0.95	2.09
		129	143	125	139
2,3,4-trimethylpentane	23.5	179	245	163	245
		0.65	1.30	0.38	1.02
		119	130	113	126
2,4,4-trimethyl-1-pentene	25	192	283	155	279
		1.05	3.36	0.27	2.58
		127	149	110	144
2,4,4-trimethyl-2-pentene	25	188	236	156	238
		0.89	0.89	0.29	0.74
		124	124	110	121
NACA base fuel (85 percent S-3 + 15 percent M-3 + 4 ml TEL/gal)		156	210	154	212
		0.30	0.32	0.26	0.27
		111	111	109	110

INTRODUCTION

Under the sponsorship of the NACA the Bureau of Standards is synthesizing to a high degree of purity various hydrocarbons for knock-testing in a full-scale aircraft-engine cylinder. Samples of these hydrocarbons are also submitted to the Ethyl Corporation for tests in the 17.6 engine and, where the quantity of supply permits, to the General Motors Corporation Research Laboratories for tests in the General Motors engine. In each of these two cases, the engine conditions are those used in research sponsored by the American Petroleum Institute Hydrocarbon Research Project on the knocking characteristics of pure hydrocarbons. The results obtained by both of these laboratories are available in the reports of the American Petroleum Institute.

Sufficient supplies of 10 of the hydrocarbons prepared by the Bureau of Standards have recently been submitted to the NACA for tests (in which the hydrocarbons are blended in a base fuel) in an R-1820 G200 cylinder operating under test conditions tentatively standardized by the Coordinating Research Council.

It is the purpose of this report to present the results of these tests. The tests were conducted at the Aircraft Engine Research Laboratory of the National Advisory Committee for Aeronautics during November and December 1943.

FUELS

The National Bureau of Standards prepared and furnished samples of the following pure hydrocarbons leaded with 1-T mix aviation ethyl fluid to a concentration of 4 ml TEL per gallon and inhibited with 72.576 milligrams of U.O.P. No. 4 inhibitor per gallon (0.8 lb/5000 gal):

> 2,2-dimethylbutane (neohexane)
> 2,3-dimethylbutane (diisopropyl)
> 2-methylbutane (isopentane)
> 2,2,3-trimethylbutane (triptane)
> 2,2,4,4-tetramethylpentane
> 2,3,3-trimethylpentane
> 2,2,3-trimethylpentane
> 2,3,4-trimethylpentane
> 2,4,4-trimethyl-1-pentene
> 2,4,4-trimethyl-2-pentene

The available amounts of the pure hydrocarbons varied from 5 to
8 gallons. All the hydrocarbons have been tested pure in the
General Motors research laboratory under the American Petroleum
Institute procedure.

Preliminary investigations were made by the staff of the Fuel
Synthesis Section, Fuels and Lubricants Division, of AERL to deter-
mine the peroxide number of the samples; U.O.P. Method No. H-33-40
was followed. Tests of two samples of 2,4,4-trimethyl-2-pentene
showed them to have peroxide numbers of 6.7 and 3.9. The peroxide
number of the 2,4,4-trimethyl-2-pentene was reduced to 0.1 by
passing it twice through a florite drying column. Because this
process removed some of the tetraethyl lead and the inhibitor, the
compound was then leaded and inhibited to correspond to the original
samples as received from the National Bureau of Standards.

Two aviation gasolines containing appreciable amounts of aro-
matics were included in the tests: FPF-821, obtained from the
Socony-Vacuum Oil Company, and AN-F-29 (140P) fuel.

The NACA base fuel was a blend of 85 percent S-3 and 15 per-
cent M-3 reference fuels leaded to a concentration of 4 ml TEL per
gallon and inhibited with 0.09 pound U.O.P. No. 4 inhibitor per
500 gallons of fuel.

The leaded pure hydrocarbons with the exception of 2,3,4-tri-
methylpentane were mixed with the NACA base fuel to form blends
consisting of 25 percent pure hydrocarbon and 75 percent base fuel
by volume. The blend containing 2,3,4-trimethylpentane consisted
of only 23.5 percent pure hydrocarbon instead of the desired 25 per-
cent owing to an error in measurement made during the blending of
the fuels.

The reference fuels used to bracket the test fuels were:

> Blend of 90 percent S-3 plus 10 percent M-3
> S-3
> S-3 plus 0.5 ml TEL per gallon
> S-3 plus 1.25 ml TEL per gallon
> S-3 plus 2.0 ml TEL per gallon
> S-3 plus 3.0 ml TEL per gallon

The Universal Oil Products Laboratory determined F-4 ratings
on the previously listed hydrocarbons in 10, 25, and 50 percent
blends in the same base fuel used in the NACA tests.

The F-3 ratings for the 25 percent blends were determined by
the Small-Scale Section, Fuels and Lubricants Division of AERL.

APPARATUS

Tests were conducted in a Wright R-1820 G200 cylinder mounted on a CUE crankcase. Standard baffles were fitted to the cylinder and cooling air was directed toward the cylinder to simulate cooling conditions in flight. The arrangement of the cooling-air deflectors in front of the cylinder is shown in figure 1. Cooling air came from the laboratory supply system and was regulated by a damper valve in the pipe. No means of controlling the temperature of the cooling air was available for these tests.

Combustion air was controlled by a pilot-operated pressure-regulating valve. The air quantity was measured by a square-edge thin-plate orifice of 1.500-inch diameter that was installed in a pipe of 4.03-inch inside diameter in the manner prescribed by the A.S.M.E. Flange taps provided the manometer connections. The combustion-air surge tank had a volume of approximately 18 cubic feet and was separated from the engine by an inlet pipe of 14-inch length and $2\frac{5}{8}$-inch inside diameter.

Fuel flow was measured with a calibrated rotameter. The calibration showed a maximum error of 3 percent in the range from 80 to 90 pounds per hour. The fuel-injection nozzle was located in the center of the intake pipe 10 inches from the intake port of the cylinder and directed fuel toward the cylinder. The fuel system at the engine is shown in figure 2.

All temperatures were measured by iron-constantan thermocouples and were read directly from a self-balancing potentiometer. The thermocouple at the rear spark-plug bushing was located in the bushing about 1/8 inch from the outer surface of the bushing.

Two magnetos were used in the ignition system, one for each spark plug. Champion C34S spark plugs were used in all the tests. Engine torque was absorbed by an electric-hydraulic dynamometer unit.

METHODS

Test conditions. - The fixed engine conditions were:

Compression ratio 7.3
Spark advance, degrees B.T.C. 20/20
Oil flow to piston jets, pounds per minute 8
Oil pressure to piston jets, pounds per square inch 50
Oil pressure to crankpin, pounds per square inch . . . . 64 to 67
Oil-in temperature, $^{\circ}$F . . . . 180 to 190
Gasoline temperature at entrance to injection pump, $^{\circ}$F. . 60 to 80

Valve timing:
  Intake opens, degrees B.T.C. 15
  Intake closes, degrees A.B.C.. 44
  Exhaust opens, degrees B.B.C.. 74
  Exhaust closes, degrees A.T.C. 25

     The cooling-air flow was determined for each test by running
the engine at a brake mean effective pressure of 140 pounds per
square inch and a fuel-air ratio of 0.10 and by adjusting the damper
valve in the cooling-air line until a rear spark-plug-bushing tem-
perature of 365° F was reached. The cooling-air flow thus determined
was maintained constant for each test. The cooling-air temperature
remained almost constant for each test but over the period in which
the tests were conducted varied from 60° F to 95° F.

     The oil flow to the piston was carefully calibrated and the
pressure was determined to give a flow of 8 pounds per minute through
the four 0.0465-inch-diameter jets used.

     Knock was detected with a magnetostriction pickup unit, which
was inserted in the combustion chamber and used in conjunction with
a cathode-ray oscillograph.

     Test procedure. - Cylinder temperatures are normally allowed
to stabilize before the data for a point on a knock curve are taken.
The practice at ARL is to allow 10 to 15 minutes per test point
for the temperatures to stabilize. Because the supply of pure
hydrocarbon fuel blends was limited, however, special procedures
were adopted to obtain complete curves at both cruise and take-off
conditions. The mixture-response curves were run as rapidly as
possible; for each point on a curve conditions were held constant
only long enough to take the most essential observations. The aver-
age time taken per test curves was approximately 20 minutes.

     Because sufficient time could not be allowed for cylinder tem-
peratures to stabilize, a series of tests was made on AN-F-28 avia-
tion gasoline to determine the accuracy of rapidly obtained knock
curves. The inlet-air pressure, the indicated mean effective pres-
sure, and the indicated specific fuel consumption agreed very closely
with the values obtained in the conventional manner except in the
fuel-air-ratio range from 0.065 to 0.075. In this region the inlet-
air pressure and the indicated mean effective pressure tended to be
higher than normal and the cylinder temperatures tended to be lower.
Additional time for obtaining points in this region was allotted to
overcome this effect, and the results are presented in figure 3.
The agreement of the curves under these conditions was very close
with respect to the inlet-air pressure, the indicated mean effective
pressure, and the indicated specific fuel consumption. The data for

the three curves presented in figure 3 were obtained by three oper-
ators in order to insure the precision of detecting knock. The
cylinder temperatures varied somewhat but the variation was small
and cannot be considered a serious source of error.

Whenever possible, knock data at take-off and cruise conditions
were taken on the same day for each of the fuel blends tested. A
run of three or four knock points was made with the base fuel before
each test-fuel run to check engine conditions.

Complete mixture-response curves for the reference fuels were
determined at take-off and cruise conditions to bracket the test
fuels. Friction runs were made after each test by motoring the
engine at test speed with the fuel flow and cooling air shut off.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Precision of tests. - The variation of the knock-limited indi-
cated mean effective pressure of the base fuel with the number of
test runs is shown in figure 4. The points are plotted in order of
decreasing indicated mean effective pressure and are not in the
order the tests were run; points representing first and last runs
are identified in the figure. The standard error was calculated
for the check points and is presented in the following table.

VALUES OF STANDARD ERROR IN INDICATED-MEAN-EFFECTIVE-PRESSURE UNITS

	Engine speed, 2000 rpm; inlet-air temperature, 210° F		Engine speed, 2500 rpm; inlet-air temperature, 250° F	
	Fuel-air ratio		Fuel-air ratio	
	0.075	0.10	0.075	0.10
NACA base fuel (85 percent S-3 + 15 percent M-3 + 4 ml TEL/gal)	2.22	1.95	1.39	2.43

Test results. - The reference-fuel framework covering these
tests at both engine operating conditions is presented in figures 5
and 6. From these curves cross plots were made to facilitate con-
version of the test fuels to lead ratings. (See fig. 7.) Conversion
of test fuels to percentage S in M was made from the curves in
figure 8, which were obtained by using equation 3(b) of reference 2.

Table 1 compares the F-3 ratings, the F-4 ratings, and the Wright R-1820 G200 ratings on the basis of performance number and equivalent reference-fuel concentration or octane number.

Figures 9 and 10 compare the performance of the two fuels containing aromatics (PPF-321 and AN-F-29) with that of the base fuel.

The knock-limited performance of the blends of the 10 pure hydrocarbons and the base fuel is presented in figures 11 and 12. The four methylbutanes and three trimethylpentanes increased the knock-limited power of the base fuel; 2,2,3-trimethylbutane caused the greatest increase of these seven hydrocarbons. The two olefins (2,4,4-trimethyl-1-pentene and 2,4,4-trimethyl-2-pentene) also increased the knock-limited power and 2,4,4-trimethyl-1-pentene gave a higher power than 2,2,3-trimethylbutane for fuel-air ratios greater than 0.098 for take-off conditions and 0.078 for cruise conditions. The tetramethylpentane gave no appreciable change in the knock limit.

The correlation of the Wright R-1820 G200 ratings with the F-4 ratings from reference 1 are presented in figures 13 and 14. The ratings at a fuel-air ratio of 0.10 compare favorably except for the pentene. At lean mixture (0.07 fuel-air ratio) the data are somewhat more scattered and the points for the two pentene ratings show considerable deviation from those of the other fuels.

In figure 15 the Wright R-1820 G200 lean ratings are compared with the F-3 ratings. The correlation at either operating condition is not good. The F-3 ratings show little improvement of 2,2,3-trimethylbutane (triptane) over 2-dimethylbutane (isopentane), whereas 2,2,3-trimethylbutane is decidedly better than 2-methylbutane in the Wright R-1820 G200 cylinder.

SUMMARY OF RESULTS

The results are summarized in table 1.

Aircraft Engine Research Laboratory,
        National Advisory Committee for Aeronautics,
                Cleveland, Ohio.

REFERENCES

1. Puckett, Afton D., and Brooks, Donald B.: Supercharged CFR Engine
     Tests of Twelve Pure Hydrocarbons. Special Rep. No. 11, Nat.
     Bur. Standards Hydrocarbon Fuel Res. Lab., Sept. 17, 1943.

2. Sanders, Newell D.: A Method of Estimating the Knock Rating of
     Hydrocarbon Fuel Blends. NACA ARR No. 3H21, 1943.

TABLE 1. - COMPARISON OF RATINGS OBTAINED IN A WRIGHT R-1820 G200 CYLINDER WITH F-4 AND F-3 RATINGS

[For each compound there are three rows of values. The first row is imep, lb/sq in.; the second is tetraethyl lead in S reference fuel, ml/gal, except as noted; and the third is performance number]

Compound	Concentration in base fuel (percent)	F-3 ratings	F-4 ratings (a)			Wright R-1820 G200 ratings (compression ratio, 7.3; spark advance, 20° B.T.C.)									
						Engine speed, 2000 rpm; inlet-air temperature, 210° F					Engine speed, 2500 rpm; inlet-air temperature, 250° F				
			Fuel-air ratio			Fuel-air ratio					Fuel-air ratio				
			0.07	0.10	Rich	0.065	0.07	0.08	0.09	0.10	0.065	0.07	0.08	0.09	0.10
2,2-dimethylbutane (neohexane)	25					165	176	196	210	225	162	170	193	210	226
		0.89	0.95	0.83	0.84	0.49	0.58	0.64	0.56	0.59	0.46	0.48	0.46	0.44	0.44
		124	125	123	123	116	118	119	117	118	115	115	115	114	114
2,3-dimethylbutane (diisopropyl)	25					190	197	212	226	237	183	192	214	228	238
		0.90	0.99	1.35	1.32	1.09	1.25	1.30	1.13	0.95	0.96	1.15	1.09	0.90	0.75
		124	126	131	131	137	130	130	128	125	125	128	127	124	121
2-methylbutane (isopentane)	25					165	174	198	220	233	154	162	204	221	234
		0.86	0.80	1.01	0.79	0.50	0.55	0.68	0.87	0.80	0.35	0.37	0.70	0.65	0.62
		123	122	126	122	116	117	120	124	122	112	112	120	119	119
2,2,3-trimethylbutane (triptane)	25					202	204	221	244	272	200	202	238	259	272
		1.29	2.13	3.03	2.95	1.64	1.58	1.74	2.05	2.69	2.31	1.76	2.37	2.44	2.33
		130	140	147	146	135	134	136	139	144	141	136	142	143	142
2,2,4,4-tetramethyl-pentane	25					158	160	172	189	209	164	163	178	193	209
		0.39	0.51	0.33	0.27	0.40	0.35	0.24	0.24	0.29	0.50	0.38	0.27	0.24	0.23
		113	116	111	110	113	112	108	109	110	116	113	110	109	108
2,3,3-trimethyl-pentane	25					179	188	205	228	257	182	187	213	242	257
		0.66	1.15	1.95	1.97	0.75	0.87	0.96	1.23	1.87	0.91	0.89	1.05	1.69	1.66
		119	128	138	138	121	124	125	129	137	124	124	127	135	135
2,2,3-trimethyl-pentane	25					191	196	218	244	268	182	188	224	250	266
		0.95	1.79	2.45	2.26	1.10	1.21	1.59	2.02	2.45	0.91	0.95	1.67	2.09	2.09
		125	136	143	141	127	129	134	139	143	124	125	135	139	139
2,3,4-trimethyl-pentane	23.5					174	179	201	224	245	164	163	201	229	245
		0.55	0.45	1.58	1.42	0.65	0.65	0.80	1.01	1.30	0.50	0.38	0.63	0.95	1.02
		117	115	134	132	119	119	122	126	130	116	113	119	125	126
2,4,4-trimethyl-1-pentene	25					189	192	224	256	283	150	155	190	238	279
		[b]99.9	0.25	2.20	3.10	1.03	1.05	1.89	2.77	3.36	0.30	0.27	0.41	1.45	2.58
		100	108	140	147	127	127	137	145	149	110	110	114	132	144
2,4,4-trimethyl-2-pentene	25					187	188	206	220	236	152	156	194	207	238
		0.07	0.28	0.47	0.68	0.96	0.89	1.00	0.88	0.89	0.33	0.29	0.47	0.38	0.74
		103	109	115	120	125	124	126	124	124	111	110	115	113	121
PPF-821						[c]102	[c]114	187	234	246	107	114	176	221	248
		[b]94.5	[c]98.1	1.38	1.25	[c]94.8	[c]97.8	0.44	1.53	1.34	[c]94.8	[c]96.3	0.25	0.65	1.18
		92	97	132	130	92	97	114	133	131	92	94	109	119	129
AN-F-29 (140-P)						[c]113	136	218	256	266	133	141	196	248	275
		[b]98.3	0.10	2.21	2.14	[c]99.6	0.12	1.60	2.77	2.34	0.11	0.13	0.52	2.00	2.44
		97	104	141	140	99	105	134	145	142	105	105	117	139	142
NACA base fuel (85% S-3 and 15% M-3 + 4 ml TEL/gal)						150	156	174	192	210	144	154	176	198	212
		0.41	0.49	0.41	0.36	0.31	0.30	0.26	0.28	0.32	0.23	0.26	0.25	0.28	0.27
		113	115	114	112	111	111	109	110	111	108	109	109	110	110

[a]All values are averages and are taken from reference 1.
[b]Octane number.
[c]Percentage S in M.

NATIONAL ADVISORY
COMMITTEE FOR AERONAUTICS

Figure 1. - Top view showing cooling-air deflector vanes in front
           of cylinder.

Figure 2. – Front view showing three-line fuel system, injection pump, and location of injection nozzle.

Figure 3. - Reproducibility of knock data with conventional knock curve and fast knock curve. Wright R-1820 G200 cylinder; compression ratio, 7.3; spark advance, 20° B.T.C.; fuel, AN-F-28; cooling air adjusted at 140 bmep and 0.10 fuel-air ratio to give a rear spark-plug-bushing temperature of 365° F.

(a) Fuel-air ratio, 0.10; engine speed, 2500 rpm; inlet-air temperature, 250° F.

(b) Fuel-air ratio, 0.10; engine speed, 2000 rpm; inlet-air temperature, 210° F.

(c) Fuel-air ratio, 0.075; engine speed, 2500 rpm; inlet-air temperature, 250° F.

(d) Fuel-air ratio, 0.075; engine speed, 2000 rpm; inlet-air temperature, 210° F.

Figure 4. - Deviation of knock-limited indicated mean effective pressure with number of test runs. Fuel, 85 percent S-3 and 15 percent M-3 plus 4 ml tetraethyl lead per gallon. The number of runs are not in chronological order; the first and last runs are identified.

Figure 5. – Reference-fuel framework at an engine speed of 2000 rpm and an inlet-air temperature of 210° F. Wright R-1820 G200 cylinder; compression ratio, 7.3; spark advance, 20° B.T.C.; cooling air adjusted at 140 bmep and 0.10 fuel-air ratio to give a rear spark-plug-bushing temperature of 365° F.

NATIONAL ADVISORY
COMMITTEE FOR AERONAUTICS

Engine speed, 2500 rpm
Inlet-air temperature, 250° F

○	NACA base fuel
+	S-3
×	S-3 + 0.5 ml TEL/gal
□	S-3 + 1.25 ml TEL/gal
◇	S-3 + 2.0 ml TEL/gal
△	S-3 + 3.0 ml TEL/gal
▽	90 percent S-3 + 10 percent M-3

Figure 6. - Reference-fuel framework at an engine speed of 2500 rpm and an inlet-air temperature of 250° F. Wright R-1820 G200 cylinder; compression ratio, 7.3; spark advance, 20° B.T.C.; cooling air adjusted at 140 bmep and 0.10 fuel-air ratio to give a rear spark-plug-bushing temperature of 365° F.

Figure 7. - Relation between knock-limited indicated mean effective pressure and lead concentration in S-3 reference fuel for different fuel-air ratios. Wright R-1820 G200 cylinder; compression ratio, 7.3; spark advance, 20° B.T.C.

NATIONAL ADVISORY
COMMITTEE FOR AERONAUTICS

(b) Engine speed, 2500 rpm; inlet-air temperature, 250° F.
Cross plot of figure 6.

Figure 7. - Concluded.

Figure 8. – Relation between knock-limited indicated mean effective
pressure and percentage S-3 in M-3 for different fuel-air ratios.
Wright R-1820 G200 cylinder; compression ratio, 7.3; spark advance,
20° B.T.C.   Curves plotted by using equation 3(b) of reference 2.

Figure 8. - Concluded.

Figure 9. — Knock-limited engine performance at an engine speed of 2000 rpm and an inlet-air temperature of 210° F. Wright R-1820 G200 cylinder; compression ratio, 7.3; spark advance, 20° B.T.C.; cooling air adjusted at 140 bmep and 0.10 fuel-air ratio to give a rear spark-plug-bushing temperature of 365° F.

Figure 10. - Knock-limited engine performance at an engine speed of 2500 rpm and an inlet-air temperature of 250° F. Wright R-1820 G200 cylinder; compression ratio, 7.3; spark advance, 20° B.T.C.; cooling-air adjusted at 140 bmep and 0.10 fuel-air ratio to give a rear spark-plug-bushing temperature of 365° F.

NATIONAL ADVISORY
COMMITTEE FOR AERONAUTICS

Engine speed, 2000 rpm
Inlet-air temperature, 210° F

S-3 + 3
S-3 + 2
S-3 + 1.25
S + 0.5
S-3

Compound	Concentration in base fuel (percent)
O NACA base fuel	100
+ 2,2-dimethylbutane (neohexane)	25
× 2,3-dimethylbutane (diisopropyl)	25
▷ 2-methylbutane (isopentane)	25
◁ 2,2,3-trimethylbutane (triptane)	25

Figure 11. - Knock-limited engine performance of fuel blends at an engine speed of 2000 rpm and an inlet-air temperature of 210° F. Wright R-1820 G200 cylinder; compression ratio, 7.3; spark advance, 20° B.T.C.; cooling air adjusted at 140 bmep and 0.10 fuel-air ratio to give a rear spark-plug-bushing temperature of 365° F.

NATIONAL ADVISORY
COMMITTEE FOR AERONAUTICS

Engine speed, 2000 rpm
Inlet-air temperature, 210° F

Compound	Concentration in base fuel (percent)
○ NACA base fuel	100
□ 2,2,4,4-tetramethylpentane	25
◇ 2,3,3-trimethylpentane	25
△ 2,2,3-trimethylpentane	25
▽ 2,3,4-trimethylpentane	23.5

Figure 11. - Continued.

NATIONAL ADVISORY
COMMITTEE FOR AERONAUTICS

Engine speed, 2000 rpm
Inlet-air temperature, 210° F

S-3 + 3
S-3 + 2
S-3 + 1.25
S-3 + 0.5
S-3

Compound	Concentration in base fuel (percent)
O NACA base fuel	100
▽ 2,4,4-trimethyl-1-pentene	25
▽ 2,4,4-trimethyl-2-pentene	25

53-46

Figure 11. - Concluded.

Figure 12. — Knock-limited engine performance of fuel blends at an engine speed of 2500 rpm and an inlet-air temperature of 250° F. Wright R-1820 G200 cylinder; compression ratio, 7.3; spark advance, 20° B.T.C.; cooling-air adjusted at 140 bmep and 0.10 fuel-air ratio to give a rear spark-plug-bushing temperature of 365° F.

NATIONAL ADVISORY
COMMITTEE FOR AERONAUTICS

Engine speed, 2500 rpm
Inlet-air temperature, 250° F

S-3 + 3

S-3 + 2

S-3 + 1.25

S-3 + 0.5

S-3

Compound	Concentration in base fuel (percent)
O NACA base fuel	100
□ 2,2,4,4-tetramethylpentane	25
◇ 2,3,3-trimethylpentane	25
△ 2,2,3-trimethylpentane	25
▽ 2,3,4-trimethylpentane	23.5

53-45

Figure 12. - Continued.

NATIONAL ADVISORY
COMMITTEE FOR AERONAUTICS

Engine speed, 2500 rpm
Inlet-air temperature, 250° F

S-3 + 3
S-3 + 2
S-3 + 1.25
S-3 + 0.5
S-3

| Compound	Concentration in base fuel (percent)
O NACA base fuel	100
▽ 2,4,4-trimethyl-1-pentene	25
▽ 2,4,4-trimethyl-2-pentene	25

53-47

Figure 12. - Concluded.

Figure 13. – Comparison of fuel blend ratings at an engine speed of 2000 rpm with F-4 ratings. Wright R-1820 G200 cylinder; compression ratio, 7.3; spark advance, 20° B.T.C.; inlet-air temperature, 210° F; cooling air adjusted at 140 bmep and 0.10 fuel-air ratio to give a rear spark-plug-bushing temperature of 365° F.

Figure 14. — Comparison of fuel blend ratings at an engine speed of 2500 rpm with F-4 ratings. Wright R-1820 G200 cylinder; compression ratio, 7.3; spark advance, 20° B.T.C.; inlet-air temperature, 250° F; cooling air adjusted at 140 bmep and 0.10 fuel-air ratio to give a rear spark-plug-bushing temperature of 365° F.

Figure 15. - Comparison of fuel blend ratings with F-3 ratings. Wright R-1820 G200 cylinder; compression ratio, 7.3; spark advance, 20° B.T.C.; cooling air adjusted at 140 bmep and 0.10 fuel-air ratio to give a rear spark-plug-bushing temperature of 365° F.