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SUMMARY

A strain-energy theory similar to one developed earlier at PIBAL (Polytechnic Institute of Brooklyn Aeronautical Laboratories) was established for the calculation of the buckling load in pure bending of reinforced monocoque cylinders which have a symmetric cutout on the compression side and buckle according to general-instability patterns. The difference between the present and the earlier theories is the use of the axial wave length as an additional parameter whose value was determined from a minimum condition. The theory was applied to four cylinders which were tested earlier at PIBAL. Fair agreement was found between theory and the results of the experiments.

INTRODUCTION

Reinforced monocoque cylinders are subject to failure by a simultaneous buckling of frames, stringers, and sheet covering. This type of failure is known as general instability. The problem of the general instability of reinforced circular cylinders subjected to pure bending has been investigated in some detail by various authors (references 1 to 13). These papers have dealt with complete cylinders, cylinders having symmetric cutouts on the compression side, and cylinders having side cutouts.

Reference 12 presents a strain-energy theory and the results of calculations for the buckling load of cylinders having a short symmetric cutout on the compression side. In the theory it was assumed that the general-instability bulge had the same wave length as the cutout.

In this paper the general-instability buckling load of cylinders having long symmetric cutouts on the compression side is calculated by
strain-energy methods. The axial wave length of the bulge is used as a parameter whose magnitude is found from the requirement that the buckling load be a minimum. Thus it is possible to determine whether the axial wave length is the same as the length of the cutout.

Test results showed that for some cylinders the bulge appeared to be antisymmetric with respect to the vertical plane of symmetry of the cylinder perpendicular to the axis. Therefore the calculations were carried out for the two different assumptions of deflected shape at buckling, one longitudinally symmetric, the other antisymmetric.

In both assumptions for the shape of the bulge, the displacements at buckling were represented by the first seven terms of a Fourier series in the circumferential direction and by a few terms of a trigonometric series in the axial direction. In the case of longitudinal symmetry, the trigonometric series in \( x \) consisted of sine power terms and contained a parameter which had to be determined from the minimum buckling load condition. For longitudinal antisymmetry the series was a Fourier sine series in \( x \) which also contained a parameter. Boundary conditions were used to determine four of the seven coefficients of the Fourier series in \( \varphi \) for the circumferential variation of the shape while one remained undetermined as in all buckling problems. The remaining two coefficients as well as the circumferential wave length were calculated from the minimum buckling load requirement.

The strain energies considered were those due to bending of the rings in their own plane, radial and tangential bending as well as torsion of the stringers, and shear in the sheet. The extensional strain energy of the sheet was accounted for by adding an effective width of sheet to the rings and stringers. The external work was calculated on the assumption of a linear force distribution in the stringers, which is in better agreement with test results (reference 9) than a linear stress or strain distribution.

The buckling load was calculated from the requirement that the strain energy corresponding to the transition from the unbuckled into the buckled shape be equal to the work done by the applied loads. The minimum value of the buckling load was found by assuming the circumferential wave length equal to some integral number of stringer fields, the axial wave length equal to some integral number of ring fields, and a numerical value for the parameter in the axial variation of the shape. The values of the two undetermined Fourier coefficients were calculated so as to make the buckling load a minimum, and with these two coefficients fixed the value of the axial parameter was calculated in a similar manner. The process was repeated until the three parameters reached steady values and the buckling load became a minimum. This value of the buckling load was compared with values obtained from other assumptions of axial and circumferential wave lengths and the lowest load was taken as the absolute minimum buckling load of the cylinder.
For his substantial share in the numerical work the authors are indebted to Mr. Leon Kaplan. The investigation was conducted under the sponsorship and with the financial assistance of the National Advisory Committee for Aeronautics.

SYMBOLS

\( a, a_0, a_1, a_2, a_3 \) Fourier coefficients

\( A, A_{\text{eff}} \) cross-sectional area of stringer plus effective width of sheet

\( b, b_1, b_2, b_3 \) Fourier coefficients

\( c \) parameter

\( C \) geometric coefficient in torsional rigidity \( GC \)

\( d \) width of panel measured along circumference

\( e \) parameter

\( E \) Young's modulus

\( E_{\text{tan}} \) tangent modulus

\( E_{\text{red}} \) reduced modulus

\( G \) shear modulus

\( G_0 \) shear modulus of sheet covering at zero compressive load

\( G_{\text{eff}} \) effective shear modulus

\( h \) width of stringer

\( i \) index indicating position along circumference

\( I_r \) moment of inertia of ring section and its effective width of sheet for bending in its own plane

\( I_{\text{str}} \) moment of inertia of stringer section and its effective width of sheet for bending in the radial direction (about a tangential axis)
$I_{\text{str}}$ \hspace{1cm} moment of inertia of stringer section and its effective width of sheet for bending in the tangential direction (about a radial axis)

$J$ \hspace{1cm} index indicating position along axial direction

$L$ \hspace{1cm} length of bulge in axial direction

$L_L$ \hspace{1cm} distance between adjacent rings

$m$ \hspace{1cm} number of rings involved in bulge

$m + 1$ \hspace{1cm} number of ring fields involved in bulge

$M$ \hspace{1cm} applied bending moment; function of $n$, $a$, and $b$, appearing in strain energy of bending in rings

$M_{cr}$ \hspace{1cm} applied bending moment at buckling

$n$ \hspace{1cm} parameter defining length of bulge in circumferential direction

$P_1, P_2$ \hspace{1cm} polynomial functions of $a$ and $b$

$P_{cr}$ \hspace{1cm} force in one of the stringers at edge of cutout at buckling

$P_i$ \hspace{1cm} force in $i$th stringer

$Q$ \hspace{1cm} function of $x$ and $c$ or $e$ appearing in shear strain energy

$r$ \hspace{1cm} radius of cylinder

$R$ \hspace{1cm} function of $n$, $\varphi$, $a$, and $b$ appearing in shear strain energy

$s$ \hspace{1cm} number of stringers involved in one-half of bulge

$S$ \hspace{1cm} total number of stringers in cylinder

$t$ \hspace{1cm} thickness of sheet covering

$U$ \hspace{1cm} strain energy

$U_r$ \hspace{1cm} strain energy stored in rings because of bending of rings in their own plane
\( U_{str} \) strain energy stored in stringers because of bending about a tangential axis

\( U_{str_t} \) strain energy stored in stringers because of bending about a radial axis

\( U_t \) strain energy stored in stringers because of torsion

\( U_{sh} \) strain energy stored in sheet covering because of shear

\( 2w \) effective width of sheet

\( w_n \) rotation of tangent to ring

\( w_r \) radial displacement of a point on a ring or a stringer

\( w_t \) tangential displacement of a point on a ring or a stringer

\( W \) work done by external forces

\( x \) coordinate measuring distance along axis of cylinder

\( 2\alpha \) cutout angle

\( \alpha_u, \alpha_r, \alpha_t \) coefficients used in calculation of shear strain in a panel due to rotations and displacements of its corners

\( \gamma \) shear strain

\( \delta \) distance of neutral axis from horizontal diameter of cylinder

\( c \) normal strain in a stringer

\( \varepsilon_{cr} \) buckling strain of a panel of sheet covering

\( \varphi \) angular coordinate measuring circumferential distance from edge of cutout

\( \Phi_r' \) function of \( n, \varphi, a, \) and \( b \) appearing in expression for \( w_n \)

\( \Phi_r \) function of \( n, \varphi, a, \) and \( b \) appearing in expression for \( w_r \)

\( \Phi_t \) function of \( n, \varphi, a, \) and \( b \) appearing in expression for \( w_t \)
THE DEFLECTED SHAPE

The shape of the bulge at buckling is determined mainly by the radial deflections. It was observed in experiments conducted on cylinders designed to fail by general instability that, while most of the cylinders failed in a longitudinally symmetric bulge, there were some specimens which failed in what appeared to be a longitudinally antisymmetric bulge pattern (reference 14). For that reason, these two types of deflected shape were considered. Typical deflection patterns of stringers and a ring are shown in figure 1.

SYMMETRIC DEFLECTION PATTERN

The expression chosen to represent the radial deflections that are symmetric longitudinally is:

\[ w_r = \left[ \sin^2(\pi x/L) + c \sin^6(\pi x/L) \right] \phi_r \quad (1) \]

where

\[ \phi_r = \left( a_0 + a_1 \cos n\varphi + a_2 \cos 2n\varphi + a_3 \cos 3n\varphi + b_1 \sin n\varphi + b_2 \sin 2n\varphi + b_3 \sin 3n\varphi \right) \quad (1a) \]

provided

\[ 0 \leq \varphi \leq \pi/n \]
\[ 0 \leq x \leq L \]

Also,

\[ w_r = 0 \]

for \( \varphi > \pi/n \) and/or \( x < 0, x > L \). The notation and sign convention are shown in figure 2.

The deformations of the rings were assumed to be inextensional. The condition for inextensional deformations is:

\[ w_r = -\partial w_r/\partial \varphi \quad (2) \]
Therefore the tangential deformations are given by:

$$w_t = \left[ \sin^2(\pi x/L) + c \sin^6(\pi x/L) \right] \phi_t$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where

$$\phi_t = -(1/n) \left[ a_0 \phi + a_1 \sin n\phi + (a_2/2) \sin 2n\phi + (a_3/3) \sin 3n\phi - b_1 \cos n\phi - (b_2/2) \cos 2n\phi - (b_3/3) \cos 3n\phi \right]$$  \hspace{1cm} (3a)

provided

$$0 \leq \phi \leq \pi/n$$
$$0 \leq x \leq L$$

Also,

$$w_t = 0$$

when $\phi > \pi/n$ and/or $x < 0$, $x > L$. The arbitrary function of $x$ which would normally appear in equation (3) as a result of the integration of equation (2) is zero if the displacements are symmetric about a vertical diameter.

If it is required that there be a smooth transition between the bulge and the undistorted part of the cylinder at $\phi = \pi/n$, then:

(1) The tangential displacements must vanish:

$$w_t = 0$$  \hspace{1cm} (4a)

when $\phi = \pi/n$ for all values of $x$

(2) The radial displacements must vanish:

$$w_r = 0$$  \hspace{1cm} (4b)

when $\phi = \pi/n$ for all values of $x$

(3) There must be no sudden change in the direction of the tangent:

$$\frac{\partial w_r}{\partial \phi} = 0$$  \hspace{1cm} (4c)

when $\phi = \pi/n$ for all values of $x$
(4) There must be no sudden change in the curvature:

\[ \frac{\partial^2 w_r}{\partial \varphi^2} = 0 \]  

(4d)

when \( \varphi = \pi/n \) for all values of \( x \). Also, in order to have a smooth transition between the bulge and the undistorted part of the cylinder at \( x = 0 \) and/or \( x = L \):

(5) The tangential displacements must vanish:

\[ w_t = 0 \]  

(4e)

when \( x = 0 \) or \( L \) for all values of \( \varphi \)

(6) The radial displacements must vanish:

\[ w_r = 0 \]  

(4f)

when \( x = 0 \) or \( L \) for all values of \( \varphi \)

(7) There must be no sudden change in the direction of the tangent:

\[ \frac{\partial w_r}{\partial x} = 0 \]  

(4g)

when \( x = 0 \) or \( L \) for all values of \( \varphi \). Conditions (5), (6), and (7) are automatically satisfied by the expressions in the assumed deflected shape for all values of the parameter \( c \). The remaining four conditions establish four relationships between the Fourier coefficients and make it possible to determine any four of the coefficients in terms of the remaining three. If \( a_0 \), \( a_1 \), and \( b_1 \) are retained as the basic parameters, and the notation

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{a_1}{a_0} &= a \\
\frac{b_1}{a_0} &= b
\end{align*}
\]

(5)

is used, then equations (1a) and (3a) become:

\[ w_r = a_0 \left[ \sin^2(\pi x/L) + c \sin^6(\pi x/L) \right] \left[ 1 + a \cos n\varphi + (1.6a - 1.8) \cos 2n\varphi + \\
(0.6a - 0.8) \cos 3n\varphi + b \sin n\varphi + (3.2b + 3.6\pi) \sin 2n\varphi + \\
(1.8b + 2.4\pi) \sin 3n\varphi \right] \]  

(6)
\[ w_t = -\left( a_0/n \right) \left[ \sin^2(\pi x/L) + c \sin^6(\pi x/L) \right] \left[ a \sin n\varphi + (0.8a - 0.9) \sin 2n\varphi + (0.2a - 0.2666 \ldots) \sin 3n\varphi - b \cos n\varphi - (1.6b + 1.8\pi) \cos 2n\varphi - (0.6b + 0.8\pi) \cos 3n\varphi \right] \] (7)

**CALCULATION OF STRAIN ENERGY FOR THE**

**SYMmetric DEFLECTED SHAPE**

**Strain Energy Stored in Rings**

The strain energy stored in one-half of any one ring is:

\[ U = \left( EI_r/2r^3 \right) \int_0^{\pi/n} \left[ w_r + \left( \frac{\partial^2 w_r}{\partial \varphi^2} \right)^2 \right] \, d\varphi \] (8)

If the value of \( w_r \) is substituted from equation (6) and the strain energy is summed up over all the rings, the following expression is obtained:

\[ U_r = \left( \frac{1}{2} \right) \sum_{j=1}^{m} \left( EI_r/r^3 \right) \left[ \sin^2(\pi j/L) + c \sin^6(\pi j/L) \right] \int_0^{\pi/n} \left[ \phi_r^2 + \left( \frac{\partial^2 \phi_r}{\partial \varphi^2} \right)^2 \right] \, d\varphi \] (9)

where \( m \) is the total number of rings included in the wave length \( L \). The integration yields a result in closed form. If all the rings have the same bending rigidity \( EI_r \), the total strain energy for one-half of the cylinder is:

\[ U_r = \left( a_0^2/2r^3 \right) (EI_r M) \sum_{j=1}^{m} \left[ \sin^2(\pi j/L) + c \sin^6(\pi j/L) \right]^2 \] (10)
where

\[ nM = \left[ \pi + 10.053096(1 - 9n^2) + 206.01005(1 - 4n^2)^2 + 
\right.
\]
\[ 90.30387(1 - 9n^2)^2 - 18.095573(1 - 4n^2)(1 - 9n^2) \right] + 
\]
\[ a\left[ - 9.0477868(1 - 4n^2)^2 - 1.5079645(1 - 9n^2)^2 + 
\right.
\]
\[ 30.159289(1 - n^2)(1 - 4n^2) + 18.095573(1 - 4n^2)(1 - 9n^2) \right] + 
\]
\[ b\left[ 4(1 - n^2) + 2.4(1 - 9n^2) + 113.69784(1 - 4n^2)^2 + 
\right.
\]
\[ 42.636690(1 - 9n^2)^2 + 2.4(1 - n^2)(1 - 4n^2) - 
\]
\[ 3.68(1 - 4n^2)(1 - 9n^2) \right] + a^2\left[ (\pi/2)(1 - n^2)^2 + 4.0212386(1 - 4n^2)^2 + 
\right.
\]
\[ 0.5654867(1 - 9n^2)^2 \right] + b^2\left[ (\pi/2)(1 - n^2)^2 + 16.084954(1 - 4n^2)^2 + 
\right.
\]
\[ 5.08938(1 - 9n^2)^2 \right] + ab\left[ 6.4(1 - n^2)(1 - 4n^2) + 
\right.
\]
\[ 3.84(1 - 4n^2)(1 - 9n^2) \right] \]

(11)

It is possible but cumbersome to sum up in closed form the trigonometric functions describing the deflected shape in the axial direction as given in equation (10). It was found more convenient to carry out the summation numerically.

**Strain Energy Stored in Stringers**

The strain energy stored in the stringers because of bending in the radial direction is:

\[ U_{str} = (1/2) \sum_{i=1}^{k} E I_{str} \int_{0}^{L} \left( \frac{\partial^2 w_{r}}{\partial x^2} \right)^2 dx \]  

(12)

where the summation is extended over all the stringers involved in the bulge in one-half the cylinder. Substitution of the value of \( w_{r} \) from equation (6) into equation (12) and integration gives:
\[ U_{str_r} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_1 \frac{E}{I_{str_r}} \phi_r^2 \frac{2}{(\pi/L)^4} \int_0^L \left( \frac{2}{\cos^2(\pi x/L) - 2 \sin^2(\pi x/L) + \frac{30c}{\sin^2(\pi x/L) \cos^2(\pi x/L) - 6c \sin^6(\pi x/L)} \right) \, dx \]

\[ U_{str_r} = \left( \frac{\pi h}{L^3} \right) \left[ 1 + \frac{15}{8}c + \frac{441}{128}c^2 \right] \sum_1 \frac{E}{I_{str_r}} \phi_r^2 \]  \hspace{1cm} (13)

The strain energy stored in the stringers because of bending in the tangential direction is:

\[ U_{str_t} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_1 \frac{E}{I_{str_t}} \int_0^L \left( \frac{\partial^2 \psi_t}{\partial x^2} \right)^2 \, dx \]  \hspace{1cm} (14)

where the summation is extended over all the stringers involved in the bulge in one-half of the cylinder. With the aid of equation (7) this strain energy becomes:

\[ U_{str_t} = \left( \frac{\pi h}{L^3} \right) \left[ 1 + \frac{15}{8}c + \frac{441}{128}c^2 \right] \sum_1 \frac{E}{I_{str_t}} \phi_t^2 \]  \hspace{1cm} (15)

The strain energy stored in the stringers because of torsion is:

\[ U_t = \frac{1}{2} \sum_1 GC \int_0^L \left( \frac{1}{r} \right)^2 \left( \frac{\partial^2 \psi_t}{\partial \psi \partial \phi} \right)^2 \, dx \]  \hspace{1cm} (16)

and again the summation is extended over all the stringers involved in the bulge in one-half of the cylinder. In this equation \( \left( \frac{1}{r} \right) \left( \frac{\partial^2 \psi_t}{\partial \psi \partial \phi} \right) \) is the unit angle of twist for the stringers. In the expression for the Saint Venant torsional rigidity

\[ C = 0.14h^4 \]  \hspace{1cm} (16a)

since the test specimens were provided with square section stringers of edge length \( h \). Differentiation gives:

\[ \frac{\partial^2 \psi_t}{\partial \phi \partial \psi} = \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} \left[ \sin^2(\pi x/L) + c \sin^6(\pi x/L) \right] \phi_r' \right) \]  \hspace{1cm} (17)
where
\[
\Phi_r' = a_0 n \left[ -a \sin np - (3.2a - 3.6)\sin 2np - (1.8a - 2.4)\sin 3np + \\
b \cos np + (6.4b + 7.2\pi)\cos 2np + (5.4b + 7.2\pi)\cos 3np \right] \tag{17a}
\]

This gives for the strain energy of torsion:
\[
U_t = \left( \pi^2 GC/4L^2 \right) \left[ (1/4) + (15/32)c + (189/512)c^2 \right] \sum_i (\Phi_r')^2 \tag{18}
\]

where the summation is over one-half the cylinder, as before. Since the variation of the torsional rigidity caused by the different amounts of effective width of sheet is small when calculated according to the Saint Venant theory, it was considered permissible to assume $GC$ a constant.

The values of $\Phi_r$, $\Phi_t$, and $\Phi_r'$ vary from stringer to stringer. Also $I_{str_t}$ and $I_{str_t}$ vary around the circumference because the effective width to be added to each stringer changes. Therefore the summations indicated in equations (13), (15), and (18) had to be evaluated numerically for each cylinder investigated.

Strain Energy of Shear Stored in Sheet

The shear strain energy per unit volume was taken as one-half the average effective shear modulus multiplied by the square of the average shear strain $\gamma$ for each panel of sheet. The average shear strain $\gamma$ was calculated from the relative displacements of the corners of the panel, as shown in figure 3. Then the total strain energy of shear stored in the sheet is:
\[
U_{sh} = (1/2) \sum L_{1\text{td}} \gamma^2 G_{eff}
\tag{19}
\]

where $L_{1\text{td}}$ is the volume of one panel and the summation extends over all the panels involved in the bulge in one-half the cylinder. The effective shear modulus $G_{eff}$ depends on the geometric and mechanical properties of and the average normal strain in the panel. Its value was taken from the empirical curves established earlier at PIBAL and presented in figure 24 of reference 15.
The average angle of shear $\gamma$ was calculated from the equation:

$$\gamma = \left(\frac{a_r}{L_1}\right)\left(w_{r1},_{j} - w_{r1},_{j+1} - w_{r1},_{j+1} + w_{r1},_{j+2}\right) +$$

$$\left(\frac{|a_t|}{L_1}\right)\left(w_{t1},_{j} + w_{t1},_{j+1} - w_{t1},_{j+1} - w_{t1},_{j+2}\right) +$$

$$\left(\frac{|a_n|}{d/L_1}\right)\left(w_{n1},_{j} + w_{n1},_{j+1} - w_{n1},_{j+1} - w_{n1},_{j+2}\right)$$

(20)

where the subscript $i$ refers to the circumferential location, and the subscript $j$, to the axial location of the corners of the panel.

The rotation $w_n$ of the tangent of the ring is given by the relation:

$$w_n = \left(\frac{1}{r}\right)\frac{\partial v_r}{\partial \varphi} = \left(\frac{1}{r}\right) \Phi_r$$

(21)

In reference 16 the values of the numerical factors $a_r$, $a_t$, and $a_n$ were determined.

$$a_r = \frac{d}{10r} = \frac{2\pi}{108}$$

$$a_t = -\frac{1}{2}\left[1 - 0.01666 \ldots (\frac{a}{r})^2\right]$$

$$a_n = -\left(r/a\right)(0.5 + a_t)$$

(22)

Substitutions yield:

$$U_{sh} = \left(tdG_0/2L_1\right) \sum_{j=0}^{m} Q_j \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} (G_{eff}/G_0)_{iR_i}$$

(23)

where $Q$ is a function of $x$ only, and $R$, a function of $\varphi$ only, given by the relations:

$$Q = \left(\sin^2[\pi x/(m + 1)] + c \sin^6[\pi x/(m + 1)]\right) - \left(\sin^2[\pi (x + 1)/(m + 1)] + c \sin^6[\pi (x + 1)/(m + 1)]\right)^2$$

(24)
\[ R = a_0^2 \left[ a_t (\Phi_T - \Phi_{T+1}) + |a_t| (\Phi_{T+1} + \Phi_{T+2}) + \left( |a_t| \frac{d}{r} \right) \left( \Phi_{T+1} + \Phi_{T+2} \right) \right]^2 \]  

(25)

It is possible to get a result in closed form for the summations \( Q \) and \( R \) but for convenience the summation was performed numerically.

**WORK DONE BY EXTERNAL FORCES**

It was observed in the experiments described in reference 9 that the stress distribution was not linear in the cutout portion of the cylinder, although the deviations from linearity were not large as a rule. A good approximation to the experimental curves was obtained by assuming a linear force distribution, which is not equivalent to a linear stress distribution because of the varying amounts of effective width of sheet added to the stringer sections. A comparison of the strain distribution calculated on the assumption of linear force distribution with the experimental strains is given in figure 4 of reference 12. The expression used for the calculation of the force acting on the \( i \)th stringer is:

\[ P_i = P_{cr} \left[ \frac{\delta / r + \cos (\alpha + 2\pi i / s)}{(\delta / r + \cos \alpha)} \right] \]

(26)

where \( P_{cr} \) is the compressive force acting upon the stringer at the edge of the cutout, and \( \delta \) is the distance of the neutral axis from the horizontal diameter of the cylinder.

Equal and opposite forces are assumed to be acting at the \( x = 0 \) and \( x = L \) ends of each stringer. The distance between the points of application of these forces shortens at buckling. The work done by the forces is equal to the summation of the forces times the shortening of all the stringers involved in the bulge in one-half the cylinder:

\[ W = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{1}^{1} P_i \int_{0}^{L} \left[ \left( \frac{\partial w_T}{\partial x} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\partial w_t}{\partial x} \right)^2 \right] dx \]

(27)
Substitutions and integration give:

\[
W = \left(\frac{\pi^2}{L}\right) \left[\frac{1}{4} + \frac{15}{32} c + \frac{189}{512} c^2\right] \sum_i P_i \left(\phi_r^2 + \phi_t^2\right)
\]

\[
W = \frac{\pi^2}{L} \left[\frac{1}{4} + \frac{15}{32} c + \frac{189}{512} c^2\right] \bar{P} \sum_i \left(\frac{P_i}{P_{cr}}\right) \left(\phi_r^2 + \phi_t^2\right)
\]  \hspace{1cm} (28)

The summation in the right-hand member of equation (28) was carried out numerically.

**ANTISYMMETRIC DEFLECTION PATTERN**

The expression chosen to represent the radial deflections that are antisymmetric longitudinally is:

\[
v_r = \left\{ \sin \left(\frac{\pi x}{L}\right) + e \sin \left(\frac{4\pi x}{L}\right) - \left[\frac{1}{3} + \frac{2e}{3}\right] \sin \left(\frac{6\pi x}{L}\right) \right\} \phi_r
\]  \hspace{1cm} (29)

for

\[
0 \leq \phi \leq \frac{\pi}{n}
\]

\[
0 \leq x \leq L
\]

where \(\phi_r\) is given by equation (12). Also,

\[
v_r = 0
\]

for \(\phi > \frac{\pi}{n}\) and/or \(x < 0, x > L\). The expression for the tangential deflections, again on the assumption of inextensional deformations, can be obtained from equations (2) and (29) and is given by

\[
v_t = \left\{ \sin \left(\frac{2\pi x}{L}\right) + e \sin \left(\frac{4\pi x}{L}\right) - \left[\frac{1}{3} + \frac{2e}{3}\right] \sin \left(\frac{6\pi x}{L}\right) \right\} \phi_t
\]  \hspace{1cm} (30)
for
\[ 0 \leq \varphi \leq \pi/n \]
\[ 0 \leq x \leq L \]
where \( \Phi_t \) is given by equation (3a). Also,
\[ w_t = 0 \]

for \( \varphi > \pi/n \) and/or \( x < 0, x > L \). These expressions for \( w_r \) and \( w_t \)
must satisfy the boundary conditions at \( \varphi = \pi/n \) given in equations (4a) through (4g).

It can be shown that the expressions for \( w_r \) and \( w_t \) given by
equations (29) and (30) satisfy the given boundary conditions for all
values of the longitudinal parameter \( e \), as well as the parameters \( a \)
and \( b \). In addition, it can be verified that equations (29) and (30)
satisfy the requirement for antisymmetry in the axial direction, namely,
\[ w_r(x) = -w_r(L-x) \]  \hspace{1cm} (31)
for any given value of \( \varphi \).

**CALCULATION OF STRAIN ENERGY FOR THE ANTISYMMETRIC DEFLECTED SHAPE**

The strain energies are derived in the same way as those for the
symmetric deflected shape. They are listed below with reference to the
equations from which they are derived.

Strain Energy Stored in Rings

From equations (8) and (29) the strain energy of bending at the
rings involved in the bulge in one-half the cylinder is:

\[ U_r = \left( a_0^2/2r^3 \right) E I M \sum_{j=1}^{m} \left[ \sin (2\pi j/L) + e \sin (4\pi j/L) - \right. \\
\left. \left[ (1/3) + (2a/3) \right] \sin (6\pi j/L) \right]^2 \]  \hspace{1cm} (32)

where \( M \) is given in equation (11). The summation is taken over the
rings involved in the bulge and was evaluated numerically.
Strain Energy Stored in Stringers

From equations (12) and (29) the strain energy due to radial bending of the stringers is given by

\[ U_{str_r} = \left( \frac{x^4}{L^3} \right) \left( 40 + 144e + 208e^2 \right) \sum_i E_i I_{str_r} (\phi_r)^2 \]  
(33)

From equations (14) and (30) the strain energy due to tangential bending of the stringers is

\[ U_{str_t} = \left( \frac{x^4}{L^3} \right) \left( 40 + 144e + 208e^2 \right) \sum_i E_i I_{str_t} (\phi_t)^2 \]  
(34)

Equations (16) and (29) give for the strain energy due to torsion of the stringers:

\[ U_t = \left( \frac{x^2}{L} \right) \left( 2 + 4e + 8e^2 \right) (GC/r^2) \sum (\phi_r')^2 \]  
(35)

where \( C \) is given by equation (16a). The summations for all stringer strain energies were evaluated numerically.

Strain Energy of Shear Stored in Sheet

From equations (19), (21), (29), and (30), the strain energy of shear in the sheet is:

\[ U_{sh} = \left( \frac{tdC_0}{2L} \right) \sum_{j=0}^{m} Q_j \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} \left( G_{eff}/G_0 \right) R_i \]  
(36)

where \( Q \) is given by

\[ Q = \left\{ \sin \left[ 2\pi j/(m+1) \right] + e \sin \left[ 4\pi j/(m+1) \right] - \left[ (1/3) + (2e/3) \right] \sin \left[ 6\pi j/(m+1) \right] \right\} - \left\{ \sin \left[ 2\pi (j+1)/(m+1) \right] + \right. \]
\[ \left. e \sin \left[ 4\pi (j+1)/(m+1) \right] - \left[ (1/3) + (2e/3) \right] \sin \left[ 6\pi (j+1)/(m+1) \right] \right\}^2 \]

and \( R \) is given by equation (25). The summations \( \sum Q \) and \( \sum R \) were evaluated numerically.
WORK DONE BY EXTERNAL FORCES

From equations (27), (29), and (30) the work done by the external forces is:

\[ W = \left( \pi^2/\beta \right) P_{cr} \left( 2 + 4\epsilon + 8\epsilon^2 \right) \sum_{i} \left( P_i / P_{cr} \right) \left( \phi_i^2 + \phi_t^2 \right) \]  

(37)

CALCULATION OF BUCKLING LOAD

The buckling condition is

\[ U_r + U_{str_r} + U_{str_t} + U_t + U_{sh} = W \]  

(38)

where the values of the strain energies and the work must be taken from equations (10), (13), (15), (18), (23), and (28) for the longitudinally symmetric buckling shape, or from equations (32), (33), (34), (35), (36), and (37) for the longitudinally antisymmetric buckling shape.

Equation (38) was solved for \( P_{cr} \) contained in \( W \) in the following way.

Integral numbers of \( s \) and \( (m + 1) \) were chosen for the number of stringer and ring fields included in the bulge. For these values the \( \phi^2, M, \) and \( R \) functions reduced to quadratic expressions in \( a \) and \( b \). Next \( P_{cr} \) was assumed. This permitted the calculation of the effective widths of sheet acting with the stringers, the moments of inertia of the stringers, and the values of the effective shear modulus in the sheet panels.

Finally, a trial value was chosen for the parameter \( c \) (for the symmetric deflected shape) or \( e \) (for the antisymmetric deflected shape) in the expressions for the longitudinal variation of the buckled shape. All necessary summations were then carried out. Substitution of all the results in equation (38) made it possible to obtain a solution for \( P_{cr} \) in the form:

\[ P_{cr} = p_1(a,b)/p_2(a,b) \]  

(39)

where \( p_1 \) and \( p_2 \) are quadratic expressions in the parameters \( a \) and \( b \). Minimizing this expression for \( P_{cr} \) with respect to \( a \) and \( b \) is equivalent to setting...
\[ P_{cr} = \frac{P_1(a,b)}{P_2(a,b)} = \frac{\partial P_1/\partial a}{\partial P_2/\partial a} = \frac{\partial P_1/\partial b}{\partial P_2/\partial b} \] (40)

where the partial differential coefficients of \( P_1 \) and \( P_2 \) are linear functions of \( a \) and \( b \). Equations (40) give three relations between \( P_{cr}, a, \) and \( b \), which may be solved by a trial-and-error procedure. With the aid of an assumed value for \( P_{cr} \), \( a \) and \( b \) were calculated from the linear equations. These values of \( a \) and \( b \) were then substituted into the original quadratic expressions in equation (39), yielding a calculated value for \( P_{cr} \). This procedure was repeated with new assumptions for \( P_{cr} \) until the value calculated was reasonably close to the one assumed. These values of \( a \) and \( b \) were then substituted in the original expressions for the strain energies, thus reducing the \( \Phi^2 \), \( M \), and \( R \) functions to quadratic expressions in \( c \) or \( e \), depending on which type of deflected shape was under consideration. The necessary summations were carried out again, and substitution of the results in equation (38) gave a solution for \( P_{cr} \) in the form

\[ P_{cr} = \frac{P_3(c)}{P_4(c)} \] (41a)

or

\[ P_{cr} = \frac{P_5(e)}{P_6(e)} \] (41b)

where \( P_3 \) and \( P_4 \) are quadratic expressions in the parameter \( c \), and \( P_5 \) and \( P_6 \) are quadratic expressions in the parameter \( e \). Minimizing this expression for \( P_{cr} \) with respect to \( c \) or \( e \) is equivalent to setting

\[ P_{cr} = \frac{P_3(c)}{P_4(c)} = \frac{\partial P_3/\partial c}{\partial P_4/\partial c} \] (42a)

or

\[ P_{cr} = \frac{P_5(e)}{P_6(e)} = \frac{\partial P_5/\partial e}{\partial P_6/\partial e} \] (42b)

where the partial differential coefficients of \( P_3 \) and \( P_4 \) are linear functions of \( c \), and the partial differential coefficients of \( P_5 \) and \( P_6 \) are linear functions of \( e \). These equations can be solved by the same trial-and-error procedure that was used to solve equations (40) for \( a, b, \) and \( P_{cr} \). If the value of the parameter \( c \) (or \( e \)) was different from the trial value assumed at the very outset when the parameters \( a \) and \( b \) were determined, then the new value of \( c \) (or \( e \)) was substituted in the expressions for the longitudinal variation of the buckled shape and the minimization procedure of equation (40) was
repeated in order to get new values for \( a \) and \( b \). The process was continued until the values of all parameters reached reasonably steady values and the value of \( P_{cr} \) approached a minimum.

This procedure involving separate minimizations for the longitudinal and circumferential parameters was used because minimization with respect to all the three parameters at the same time would have yielded nonlinear equations in the parameters. It was considered more convenient to repeat the minimizations than to solve the nonlinear equations.

The procedure was carried out for different numbers of ring and stringer fields included in the bulges. The smallest buckling load obtained in this way was considered the true buckling load.

When the value of \( P_{cr} \) obtained in these calculations differed materially from that assumed at the outset, the moments of inertia and effective shear modulus had to be calculated again and the entire procedure repeated.

Details of the procedure may be seen from the numerical example given in the appendix.

**COMPARISON OF THEORY AND EXPERIMENT**

Numerical calculations were carried out to obtain the buckling load, for the axially symmetric deflected shape, of the cylinders shown in figure 4. The buckling load for the axially antisymmetric deflected shape was determined only for cylinder 75. Typical deflected shapes of a ring and stringers are shown in figure 1 and the results of the calculations are given in table 1. The experimental bending moments at buckling were taken from reference 14.

The theoretical buckling loads were consistently higher than the experimental values, and the deviations between theory and experiment seemed to increase systematically with increase in ring size. Comparison of the theoretical buckling loads for axially symmetric and antisymmetric deflected shapes shows that the symmetric shape gave a lower value but the difference was small. This indicates that the assumption of a symmetric shape was closer to the true deflected shape than the assumption of antisymmetry.

The axial wave length predicted by theory was found to be different from the length of the cutout.

Comparison of results obtained with the 45\(^\circ\) cutout cylinders of reference 12 with cylinder 72 of this series which has rings of the smallest size shows a decrease in the deviation between theory and experiment from an average value of 33.9 percent to 18.1 percent. It
appears that the assumption of a more complex deflected shape incorporating more free parameters was the cause of this improvement. However, in the case of the heaviest rings, even the present assumptions are not sufficiently general to give a buckling load in reasonable agreement with experiment.

CONCLUSIONS

A strain-energy theory was developed for the general-instability buckling load of reinforced circular monocoque cylinders having a long symmetric cutout on the compression side and subjected to pure bending. When the theory was applied to four test cylinders of the experimental reports, the buckling loads obtained were 18.1, 26.5, 25.6, and 45.1 percent higher than the experimental values. The average deviation was 28.8 percent.

Polytechnic Institute of Brooklyn
Brooklyn, N. Y., July 12, 1948
APPENDIX

NUMERICAL EXAMPLE

In this appendix details of the calculations performed in determining the buckling load for Pibal cylinder 73 are shown for the case of a longitudinally symmetric deflected shape. The geometric and mechanical properties for this cylinder are:

Sheet thickness, in. .......................... 0.012
Radius, r, in. ................................. 10
Distance between adjacent rings, L₁, in. ........ 3.856
Stringer spacing along circumference, d, in. ........ 3.927
Number of stringers in full portion of cylinder, S .......................... 16
Angle of cutout, 2α, deg .......................... 45
Stringer cross section, in. ...................... 3/8 by 3/8
Area of stringer cross section, A, sq in. ......... 0.140625
Torsional rigidity, C, in.⁴ ........................ 27.686 × 10⁻⁴
Ring cross section, in. .......................... 1/4 by 1/2
Moment of inertia of ring cross section, Jₚ, in.⁴ .... 749.364 × 10⁻⁶
Modulus of elasticity, E, psi ........................ 10.5 × 10⁶
Shear modulus, G = G₀, psi. ...................... 3.9 × 10⁶

At the outset, the assumed value of F₀ was taken as 5300 pounds. The shift of the neutral axis was calculated to be 1.1452 inches on the basis of a linear force distribution for pure bending. This permits the setting up of the following table which gives the effective width 2w of sheet to be added to the stringers, the moments of inertia of the stringer cross sections, the effective shear modulus Gₑ, and the reduced moduli of elasticity.
In this table, column (1) refers to the stringer station. Column (2) is obtained from equation (26), column (3), by dividing column (2) by $E = 10.5 \times 10^6$ psi. Columns (4), (5), and (6) can be most conveniently filled in through the use of a previously drawn curve of the strain $\varepsilon$ against the area $A_{\text{eff}}$ of stringer and effective width combination. A curve of this type was used in the present calculations, and was constructed with the aid of the following formula for the effective width:

$$2w = \frac{1}{(1/\varepsilon)(d/r)} \left[ 0.3t + 1.535 \left( (t/d)(\varepsilon r - 0.3t)r^{1/2} \right)^{2/3} \right]$$

(A1)

derived in reference 1.)

Columns (7) and (8) give the moments of inertia of the stringers plus their effective width of a curved sheet calculated from the equations:

$$I_{\text{str}} = \frac{(h^4/12) + \left( (h + t)/2 - \left[ (2w)^2/24r \right]^2 \right)}{(1/2w) + (1/A)} + 0.8 \left[ (2w)^2 / 24r \right]^2 2wt$$

$$I_{\text{str}} = (h^4/12) + (1/12)(2w)^3 t$$

(A2)

(A3)
where \( h \) is the width of the stringer. These equations were also derived in reference 1.

If the strain in the stringer is above the proportional limit, it is necessary to use the von Kármán reduced modulus of elasticity for calculating the bending rigidity of the stringers. Columns (9) and (10) give the values of the reduced moduli based on the curves of reference 17.

Column (12) gives the value of the strain in the middle of each panel from the effective shear modulus can be determined. On the basis of a buckling strain of the panels \( \varepsilon_{cr} = 3.3 \times 10^{-4} \), column (13) gives the ratio \( \varepsilon / \varepsilon_{cr} \) for each panel. The values of \( G_{eff} / G_0 \) in column (14) are obtained from figure 24 of reference 15.

For the evaluation of the \( \Phi^2 \), \( M_0 \), and \( R \) functions, the number \( s \) of stringer fields included in the bulge in one-half the cylinder was assumed to be four. The corresponding value at \( n \) can be obtained from equation (A1);

\[
\text{(A4)}
\]

Only integral numbers of stringer fields were used in the summations, so that the angle \( \varphi \) was replaced by its equivalent \( (2\pi / S) \), where \( (2\pi / S) \) is the angle subtended by one stringer field, and \( i = 1, 2, 3, \ldots \) denotes the circumferential location of each stringer.

The trigonometric functions appearing in the expressions for \( \Phi_T \), \( \Phi_t \), and \( \Phi_r \) are given in the following table, together with the coefficients of the trigonometric functions.

\[
\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline
i & \text{Constant} & a & b & 1.6a & 0.6a & 2.0b & 12.8b & \text{Multiplier} \hspace{1cm} & \text{Multiplier} \hspace{1cm} & \text{Multiplier} \hspace{1cm} & \text{Multiplier} \\
 & \text{for } \Phi_T & \text{for } \Phi_t & \text{for } \Phi_r & \text{for } \Phi_T & \text{for } \Phi_t & \text{for } \Phi_r & \text{for } \Phi_T & \text{for } \Phi_t & \text{for } \Phi_r & \text{for } \Phi_T \\
\hline
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1.6a & 0.6a & 2.0b & 12.8b & 1 & 0.5b & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 0.785398 & 0.707107 & 0.785398 & 0.707107 & 0.785398 & 0.707107 & 0.5b & 0.5b & 0.5b \\
2 & 1 & 1.570796 & 1.570796 & 1.570796 & 1.570796 & 1.570796 & 1.570796 & 0.5b & 0.5b & 0.5b \\
3 & 1 & 2.356194 & -0.707107 & 2.356194 & -0.707107 & 2.356194 & -0.707107 & 0.5b & 0.5b & 0.5b \\
\hline
\end{array}
\]

\([s = 4; \ n = 2]\)
The polynomial $\Phi_r$ for $i = 0$, for example, is obtained by multiplying, column by column, the expressions in the row for $i = 0$ by the multipliers for $\Phi_r$ and adding the resulting products. The results for $\Phi_r$, $\Phi_t$, and $\Phi_r'$ are given in the following table.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$\Phi_r$</th>
<th>$\Phi_t$</th>
<th>$\Phi_r'$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1.6</td>
<td>3.2a</td>
<td>4.0840705</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>13.206803</td>
<td>0.2828428a</td>
<td>5.1798996b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-4.7398224</td>
<td>-1.6a</td>
<td>-0.8b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-5.543958</td>
<td>-0.2828428a</td>
<td>-2.2201004b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The value of $M$ can be calculated with the value of $n = 2$ corresponding to $s = 4$ and is

$$M = 73562.5088 + 3487.1679a + 37945.9796b + 805.8186a^2 + 4933.8711b^2 + 1151.000b^3 \quad (A5)$$

The functions $(\Phi_r' - \Phi_r_{1+1})$, $(\Phi_t' + \Phi_t_{1+1})$, and $(\Phi_r' + \Phi_r_{1+1})$ needed for the calculation of $R$ can be determined by adding or subtracting the polynomials in adjacent rows for each column of the above table. However, it must be remembered that all $\Phi$ functions are zero at $i = 4$. The results are not given here. For the values of $r = 10$ inches and $d = 3.927$ inches equations (22) give:
\[
\begin{align*}
\alpha_r &= 0.03927 \\
\alpha_t &= -0.49871 \\
\alpha_n &= -0.003272
\end{align*}
\]

(A6)

Substitution in equation (25) gives the values of \( R \) which are listed in the following table.

\[
[\alpha_r = 0.03927; \alpha_t = -0.49871; \alpha_n = -0.003272]
\]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( i )</th>
<th>( \alpha_i )</th>
<th>( \beta_i )</th>
<th>( \gamma_i )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>-0.80694216</td>
<td>0.2842619a</td>
<td>-0.6423940b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.2486636</td>
<td>0.5269357a</td>
<td>0.0700127b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.1360358</td>
<td>0.26904896a</td>
<td>0.4457607b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.5765488</td>
<td>0.0263752a</td>
<td>0.1266206b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The \( \Phi^2 \), \( M \), and \( R \) functions appear in the strain-energy expressions in quadratic form, but the squares of the polynomials are not given here.

The next step in the calculations is to assume a value of \( (m + 1) \), the number of ring fields involved in the failure. The value chosen was \( (m + 1) = 10 \) and the summations appearing in equations (10) and (23) were evaluated numerically on that basis. These results as well as the quadratic functions of \( c \) appearing in the expressions for the strain energy of the stringers and the external work are given in the following table.

\( (m + 1) = 10 \)

| Factor for strain energy of | \( (m + 1) = 10 \) |
|---|---|---|
| Ring bending | 3.750 | 5.468750c | 2.2558950c^2 |
| Stringer bending | 1.0 | 1.875c | 3.4453125c^2 |
| Stringer torsion | 0.25 | 0.46875c | 0.3691406c^2 |
| Sheet shear | 0.4774575 | 0.8952328c | 0.6753475c^2 |
| External work | 0.25 | 0.46875c | 0.3691406c^2 |
Finally a trial value of the parameter $c$ was chosen. In this numerical example the value of $c$ used is not the original trial value, but one which was obtained after several trials. Substituting the value $c = -1.477$ in the quadratic functions of $c$ makes it possible to evaluate the various strain energies in the form of quadratic expressions in $a$ and $b$ for each value of $i$.

The quadratic expressions of $a$ and $b$ in $\phi^2$, $M$, and $R$ are multiplied by the following factors from equations (10), (13), (15), (18), (23), and (28), which have been multiplied by $L/a_0^2n^2$ for convenience of calculation:

For ring bending:

$$
\left(\frac{EL}{2\pi}\right)(3.750 + 5.46875c + 2.2558595c^2) = 9.10990 \quad (A7)
$$

For stringer bending:

$$
\left(\frac{E\pi^2}{L}\right)(1.0 + 1.875c + 3.44531752c^2)(I_{str1}) = 400,399.8I_{str1} \quad (A8)
$$

For stringer torsion:

$$
\left(\frac{J}{n^2}\right)(0.25 + 0.46875c + 0.3691406c^2) = 39.16829 \quad (A9)
$$

For shear of sheet:

$$
\left[G_{0}\right]_{tr(m + 1)/mS}\left(G_{eff}/G_{0}\right)_{1}(0.4774575 + 0.8952328c + 0.6753475c^2)
= 58,482.6(G_{eff}/G_{0})_{1} \quad (A10)
$$

For external work:

$$
\left(P_1/P_{cr}\right)(0.25 + 0.46875c + 0.3691406c^2) = 0.362752 \left(P_1/P_{cr}\right) \quad (A11)
$$

Multiplication of the $\phi^2$, $M$, and $R$ functions by these multipliers and addition of the results make it possible to solve for $P_{cr}$:

$$
P_{cr} = \frac{1,804,446 + 147,812a + 935,431b + 36,451a^2 + 23,960ab + 130,546b^2}{110.0681 + 2.74494a + 61.82786b + 4.27776a^2 + 8.93153b^2 + 1.39202ab} \quad (A12)
$$
Differentiation with respect to $a$ and $b$, respectively, yields:

\[
\begin{align*}
P_{cr} &= \frac{147,812 + 23,960b + 72,902a}{2.74494 + 1.39202b + 8.55552a} \\
&= \frac{935,431 + 23,960a + 261.092b}{61.82786 + 1.39202a + 17.86306b}
\end{align*}
\] (A13)

These equations were reduced to two linear equations by assuming a value of $P_{cr} = 5500$ and clearing fractions. The two linear equations were solved simultaneously for $a$ and $b$:

\[
\begin{align*}
a &= -3.019 \\
b &= -3.354
\end{align*}
\] (A14)

Substitution of these values in equation (A12) gave a value of $P_{cr} = 5507$ pounds. This result was considered sufficiently close to the value assumed originally.

The next step was to substitute these numerical values of $a$ and $b$ into the $\Phi^2$, $M$, and $R$ functions in order to allow a minimization with respect to the parameter $c$. However, the $\Phi^2$, $M$, and $R$ functions happened to have been previously evaluated with $a = -3.07$ and $b = -3.36$. Since small changes of the parameters have little effect on the buckling load, and since these values are very close to those given in equations (A14), the latter values were used.

The numerically evaluated $\Phi^2$, $M$, and $R$ functions were then multiplied by the remaining factors for the various strain energies from equations (10), (13), (15), (18), (23), and (28), exclusive of the quadratic expressions in $c$. This gave as multipliers for the $c$ functions:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{For ring bending:} & \quad 161,811.8 \\
\text{For stringer bending:} & \quad 19,103.99 \\
\text{For stringer torsion:} & \quad 123,458.2 \\
\text{For shear of sheet:} & \quad 29,527.52 \\
\text{For external work:} & \quad 136,2066
\end{align*}
\] (A15)
Multiplication of the quadratic expressions in \( c \) by these factors and addition of the results made it possible to solve for \( P_{cr} \) as a function of \( c \):

\[
P_{cr} = \frac{671.407 + 1,006.057c + 498.239c^2}{34.0517 + 63.8468c + 50.2794c^2}
\]  
(A16)

Differentiation with respect to \( c \) as in equation (42a) gives:

\[
P_{cr} = \frac{1,006.057 + 996.478c}{63.8468 + 100.5588c}
\]  
(A17)

Assumption of \( P_{cr} = 5440 \) yields a value of \( c = -1.4757 \) from equation (A17). Substitution of this value of \( c \) in equation (A16) gives \( P_{cr} = 5446 \). Since this value of \( c \) is approximately equal to the trial value \( c = -1.477 \) assumed at the beginning, the value of \( P_{cr} \) obtained is considered the minimum for the number of ring and stringer fields \( (s = 4, (m + l) = 10) \) under consideration.

The entire procedure was repeated for other integral numbers of ring and stringer fields included in the bulge, and the lowest value of \( P_{cr} \) was taken as the minimum value, and hence the value corresponding to the buckling load, for the cylinder.

The final results of the procedure are listed in table 1 for the four cylinders investigated. The cylinders are shown in figure 4.
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### TABLE 1

**RESULTS OF CALCULATIONS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cylinder</th>
<th>m</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Ring fields in cutout</th>
<th>(m + 1)</th>
<th>a</th>
<th>b</th>
<th>Axial wavelength parameter, c or e</th>
<th>For (lb)</th>
<th>M_cr (in.-lb)</th>
<th>M_exp (in.-lb)</th>
<th>Deviation, ( \frac{M_{cr} - M_{exp}}{M_{exp}} ) (percent)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Symmetric deflected shape</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>-2.90</td>
<td>-3.30</td>
<td>0.177</td>
<td>3744</td>
<td>251,000</td>
<td>212,500</td>
<td>18.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>-3.07</td>
<td>-3.36</td>
<td>-1.476</td>
<td>5446</td>
<td>364,000</td>
<td>287,700</td>
<td>26.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>-3.07</td>
<td>-3.36</td>
<td>-1.463</td>
<td>6106</td>
<td>406,000</td>
<td>323,200</td>
<td>25.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>-3.07</td>
<td>-3.36</td>
<td>-1.478</td>
<td>8234</td>
<td>542,000</td>
<td>373,600</td>
<td>45.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Average deviation = 28.8 percent</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Antisymmetric deflected shape</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td>-3.07</td>
<td>-3.36</td>
<td>-1.173</td>
<td>8810</td>
<td>584,000</td>
<td>373,600</td>
<td>56.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NACA**
Figure 1. Deflected shapes of stringers and a ring. Cylinder 75.
Figure 2. - Sign convention and deflected shape of cylinder.
Figure 3. - Notation and sign convention for shear in a panel.
Figure 4.- Monocoque test cylinders.