**C.P. No. 285** (18,647) A.R.C. Technical Report

C.P. No. 285 (18,647) A.R.C. Technical Report



TECHNICAL LIBRARY

# MINISTRY OF SUPPLY

AERONAUTICAL RESEARCH COUNCIL CURRENT PAPERS

Fatigue Loadings in Flight: Loads in the Wing of a Varsity

By

Anne Burns, B.A.

LONDON: HER MAJESTY'S STATIONERY OFFICE

1956

FOUR SHILLINGS NET





C.P. No.285

U.D.C. No. 533.69.048.1 : 629.13.014.3(42) Varsity : 539.431

Technical Note No. Structures 192

May, 1956.

### ROYAL AIRCRAFT ESTABLISHMENT

Fatigue Loadings in Flight - Loads in the Wing of a Varsity

Ъy

Anne Burns, B.A.

#### SUMLARY

Data are presented on the number of load cycles of various magnitudes occurring in the wing of a Varsity in normal ground and flight conditions. The conditions include taxying, take-off, landing, and flight in turbulence. The relative importance of the loads in the different conditions is illustrated by reference to the loads in a typical flight.

A relationship is determined between wing loads and accelerations in turbulence so that the test results can, if required, be related to gust data obtained operationally by means of the counting accelerometer.





## LIST OF CONTENTS

1	Intro	duction	4
2	Fligh	t Tests and Metnod of Analysis	4
3	Resul	ts	4
	3.1 3.2	Loads in typical Flight Relationship between Wing Loads and c.g. Accelerations	4 5
4	Concl	usions	5
Refere	ences		6

LIST OF APPENDICES	3
--------------------	---

\_\_\_\_

Apox.

Page

Details of Flight Tests and Miscellaneous Results m	ot in
Main Text	I
Latimation of Load Occurrences in Typical Flight	II

LIST OF TABLES	LISP
----------------	------

Table

Ground to Air Loads	I
Bending Moment Cycles at Wing Root	II
Bending Moment Cycles in Wing Outboard of Englne	III
Bending Moment Cycles in Outer Wing	IV
Acceleration Cycles at Aircraft c.g.	V
Accelerations and Wing Bending Moments in Landing Impacts	IV

### LIST OF FIGURES

Figure

Strain Gauge Positions in Wing	1
Wing Loads in Component Conditions of Typical Flight	2
Rate of Occurrence of Wing Load Ranges	3
Relationship between Wing Load Ranges and c.g. Acceleration Ranges exceeded the Same Number of Times in Turbulence	4
Relationship between Wing Load Ranges and c.g. Acceleration Ranges exceeded the Same Number of Times in Various Conditions	5



## LIST OF FIGURES (Contd.)

## Figure

Comparison of Acceleration Cycles Obtained by Different Methods	6
Comparison of Wing Load Cycles Obtained by Different Methods	7
Typical Record of Loads in Turbulence	8



#### 1 Introduction

In June, July and August of 1955, a series of flight tests was made in a Varsity to obtain information on the fatigue loads in the main structure. An account of the loads in the tail unit, fuselage and nosewheel undercarriage has already been given<sup>1,2</sup>; this note presents the information obtained on the loads in the wings. Although a considerable amount of information on flight loads in wings has already been obtained by means of the counting accelerometer, there is still little information available on ground loads in wings. Particular attention was therefore given in the tests to conditions such as taxying, landing and take-off; and the investigation in flight was restricted to a study of the relationship between acceleration at the c.g.\* and loads in the wing.

#### 2 Flight Tests and Method of Analysis

A description of the flight tests and of the method of analysis of the loads in terms of changes of steady load and load ranges has already been given<sup>1</sup>. Appendix I contains further details relevant to the present tests. The main load measurements were bending moments at three stations along the port wing, obtained by means of electric resistance strain gauges; supplementary measurements of bonding moment were made at four more stations for some of the flying in turbulence (stations shown in Fig.1). The c.g. acceleration was measured at the fuselage centre line near the main spar of the wing by means of a stopped-trace accelerometer.

The strain gauge signals were calibrated in terms of bending moment by applying known loads to the wings on the ground, and in terms of pullout loads by subjecting the aircraft to pull-outs at unit incremental acceleration in flight. Results are given mainly in terms of the pullout loads.

#### 3 Results

Ground to air loads are given in Table I, and the numbers of load ranges occurring in various conditions of flight and on the ground in Tables II to V.

#### 3.1 Loads in Typical Flight

In order to summarise the information, the numbers of load ranges exceeding various magnitudes are shown for the component conditions of a typical flight (Fig.2). The flight, which is intended to represent operational training\*\*, consists of 4 minutes engine running, 5 minutes taxying, a take-off, 33 minutes flight and a landing. Details of the estimation of the loads for the various conditions are given in Appendix II. Fig.2 shows that the load cycles in take-off, landing, ground running of the engines and taxying are much less severe than those in turbulence. It should be noted, however, that the load cycles for the ground conditions occur about a mean load different from that in flight. The most severe ground loads are obtained in landing and occur in the

\* The terms "acceleration at the c g." and "c.g. acceleration" are used for convenience throughout the note. It must be understood that the acceleration concerned is really the reading of an accelerometer mounted rigidly at the centre line of the aircraft structure so that any dynamic effects due to flexibilities of the structure are included.

\*\* The Varsity is used mainly as a crew trainer.



run-out subsequent to the initial impact when the wing lift is considerably reduced (initial impacts were only moderately heavy, see Table VI).

Fig.3 shows the total load ranges for the typical flight plotted as a percentage of the estimated ultimate load. The load levels are greatest at the wing root and decrease towards the tip.

#### 3.2 Relationship between Wing Loads and c.g. Accelerations

Fig.4 shows the relationship between wing load ranges and c.g. acceleration ranges exceeded the same number of times. The relationship refers to all samples of turbulence analysed (approximately 16 minutes for stations 3, 5 and 6, and 8 minutes for stations 4, 7 and 8) and includes recordings at airspeeds of 130 kt, 145 kt and 170 kt E.A.S. Relationships were also determined for these airspeeds separately, but are not included since no significant variation with speed could be observed.

Fig.4 shows that the relationship between wing loads and e.g. acceleration in turbulence is very similar to that in pull-outs, i.e. there is very nearly a 1 to 1 relationship between wing loads and e.g. accelerations when plotted as multiples of the 1g pull-out loads and acceleration respectively. Departures from this 1 to 1 relationship are very small: for example at the 10 ft/sec gust level (a 10 ft/sec gust is calculated to produce an acceleration of 0.302g at 145 kt E.A.S., 4,000 ft, 33,000 lb A.U.W.) the ratios of wing loads to e.g. accelerations are:-

Position	Ratio of wing load to c.g. accelera- tion (expressed as multiples of 1g pull-out load and 1g respectively)
Port side - outer wing - station 3	1.07 : 1
""- mid span - "4	0.95 : 1
""- outb'd of engine" 5	0.975 : 1
""- wing root - "6	0.95 : 1
Centre line - "7	1 : 1
Stb'd side- wing root - "8	0.95 : 1

Examination of the records (see sample record of Fig.8) suggests that the ratio is slightly higher than 1:1 at the most outboard station because the bending moment there contains a vibratory component at about 7 c.p.s. probably the first flexural overtone - which does not appear in the c.g. acceleration.

#### 4 <u>Conclusions</u>

Information on the load cycles likely to produce fatigue damage in the main structure of a Varsity has been obtained in special flight tests. The results indicate that at all stations along the wing the load cycles in take-off, landing, ground running of the engines and taxying are likely to be much less numerous than the loads in turbulence. It should be noted, however, that the ground load cycles occur about a mean level different from that in flight.

The wing loads and c.g. accelerations exceeded the same number of times show very nearly a 1 to 1 relationship when expressed as multiples of the 1g pull-out loads and acceleration respectively. At the root the loads at the 10 ft/sec gust level are about 5% smaller than indicated by a 1 to 1 relationship, and at the most outboard station about 7% greater.



## REFERENCES

<u>No.</u>	Author	<u>Pitle</u> , etc.
1	A. Burns	Fatigue loadings in flight - loads in the tailplane and fin of a Varsity ARC. 18,418 C.P. 256. January, 1956.
2	E. Wells	Fatigue loadings in flight - loads in the fuselage and nose undercarriage of a Varsity R.A.E. Technical Note Structures 193

وينبذ الشمال ستلتنا الي ويوجد ويجان

- 6 -

4



-



#### APPENDIX I

#### Details of Flight Tests and Miscellaneous Results not in Main Text

#### Strain Gauge Installation and Calibration

British Thermostat strain gauges were attached to the wing main spar top and bottom booms at the stations shown in Fig.1, the bridges being arranged to measure bending moment. The signals from the bridges were recorded on a Films and Equipment 12 channel recorder, together with the signal from a type I.T.6-1 accelerometer installed in the fuselage near the c.g. The strain gauge signals were calibrated directly in terms of bending moment by loading the wings with shot bags. They were also calibrated in terms of pull-out loads by subjecting the aircraft to pull-outs at unit incremental accelerations.\* The pull-outs were made at sensibly the same air speeds and all-up-weight as those in the turbulence but, since the calibrations did not vary significantly with air speed or all-up-weight, average values were used in the analysis (all-up-weight did not vary much during the tests).

Miscellaneous Results not included in Main Text

#### Comparison of Measured and Calculated Loads

The table below shows calculated and observed loads for various conditions. The observed 10 ft/sec gust loads are half the load range which occurs the same number of times as a gust range of 20 ft/sec.

Condition	Root B.M. Pos.6		B.M. outboard of engine Pos.5		Outer wing B.M. Pos.3	
	Calculated	Observed	Calculated	Observed	Calculated	Observed
	Tons ins	Tons ins	Tons ins	Tons ins	Tons ins	Tons ins
Ground to air load	853	982	707	653	82	51
1g pull-out load	816	855	565	529	78	55
10 ft/sec gust load	195	235	141	149	22	17

Agreement between calculated and observed loads is reasonably good at position 5, but observed values tend to be greater than calculated at position 6 and smaller at position 3. More refined calculations taking account of such items as aileron up-float, propeller lift, etc., might give even better agreement.

#### Relationship between wing loads and c.g. acceleration in conditions other than turbulence

Fig.5 shows the relationship between wing load ranges and c.g. acceleration ranges exceeded the same number of times in take-offs, landings and in the circuit. Air speeds in the circuit varied from 150 to 85 knots E.A.S. and flap settings from  $15^{\circ}$  to  $47^{\circ}$ ; nevertheless, the relationship between wing loads and c.g. accelerations is almost identical with that for flight in turbulence at higher air speeds and without flaps. For take-off and landing the relationship is, however,

\* The exact value of the acceleration was measured after the flight from the recorded acceleration; a visual-reading accelerometer was provided as a rough guide for the pilot during the pull-out.



significantly different from that in turbulence and in the circuit, the ratio of wing B.M. to c.g. acceleration in take-off and landing being approximately half that in turbulence (for take-off the reduction is slightly less than half probably because the take-off loads include some flight loads). A reduction of as much as three quarters might be expected due to the main undercarriage being further inboard than the C.P. of the gust loading (flexibility effects neglected). Much of the wing loading on the ground is, however, due to flexibility effects as shown by the magnitude of the loads outboard of the undercarriages.

#### Accelerations and wing loads in landing impacts

The landings were not intentionally heavy and, although some were made by test pilots unused to flying the Varsity, only moderately heavy landings were achieved. Maximum accelerations and wing loads for a few of the initial impacts are given in Table VI.



#### APPENDIX II

#### Estimation of load occurrences in typical flight

The numbers of occurrences of wing loads shown in Fig.2 for the landing and take-off are average values of the test results. The numbers of take-offs and landings analysed and the 5% confidence limits for the wing root bending moment are as follows:-

Case	No. of landings or take-offs analysed	No. of occurrences of load range 138 tons in* Mean 5% confidence limits		
Take-off	8	6.3	6.3 ± 3.2	
Landing	8	12.1	12.1 ± 4.8	

#### \* Load range corresponding approximately to a gust range of 5 ft/sec

The estimation of loads for ground running of the engines was made in a similar manner to that already described for tail loads, and is not repeated here since the wing loads proved to be very small.

The taxying loads were estimated by scaling up the number of occurrences in the samples analysed which covered a period of 2 minutes to give the number of occurrences appropriate to 5 minutes. It should be noted that the term 'taxying loads' used in this note does not include the loads in the take-off and landing runs, sometimes classified as taxying loads.

For estimating the loads in turbulence the aircraft was assumed to spend 10 minutes at 130 knots E.A.S., 1,000 ft (an average for the climb and descent) and 23 minutes at 145 knots E.A.S., 2,000 ft.<sup>\*\*</sup> It was estimated from operational data obtained from a number of different types of aircraft that the average miles travelled to meet a gust of velocity 10 ft/sec or greater (up or nown) was 3.2 at 1,000 ft and 7.4 at 2,000 ft. Hence the Varsity in its typical flight would meet 7.9 and 8.9 gusts of 10 ft/sec at 1,000 ft and 2,000 ft respectively, i.e. flying at an allup-weight of 34,500 lb it would exceed accelerations of 0.26g and 0.29g (above or below 1g) 7.9 and 8.9 times respectively. Using the relative frequency of gusts of different magnitudes obtained operationally to adjust the number of occurrences to a common acceleration level, it is found that an acceleration of 0.3g is exceeded a total of 11.4 times, i.e. an acceleration range of 0.6g is exceeded 5.7 times on the assumption that the numbers of positive and negative gusts are equal.

The estimation of numbers of load ranges by the addition of positive and negative thresholds crossed as carried out above gives a higher answer than that obtained by a direct count of the ranges by the method used for the main analysis of the present note and described earlier<sup>1</sup>. Fig.6 compares the acceleration ranges obtained by the two methods for samples making up 30 minutes of flight in turbulence. A similar result is obtained if wing loads are analysed in the same manner

\*\* Based on average figures for R.A.F. Training Station, Swinderby.



(Fig.7, 6 minutes only). Thus 5.7 acceleration ranges obtained from the sums of positive and negative thresholds crossed are equivalent to only 4.9 load ranges obtained by a direct count. Since it is required to compare load ranges in turbulence with load ranges in take-off and landing obtained by a direct count the figure of 4.9 is used.

The numbers of occurrences of accelerations at other levels were obtained from the relative frequency of gusts of different magnitudes determined in the tests. This relative frequency was compatible with that obtained operationally for other aircraft.

The numbers of occurrences of wing loads in the typical flight were then determined from the numbers of occurrences of accelerations using the relationship of Fig.4.

- 10 -



## TABLE I

## Ground to air wing loads

A.U.W. 34,600 lb

-

c.g. 30.45 ft aft of datum

	Port Wing Bending Moment				
Condition	Root (Pos.6)	Outb'd of engine (Pos.5)	Outer wing (Pos.3)		
Ground to air - 145 kts, 2,000 ft above ground level, flaps and under- carriage up	982 tons ins	653 tons ins	51 tons ins		



TABLE II Bending Noment Cycles at Wing Root (Pos.6)

TECHNICAL LIBRARY ABBOTTAEROSPACE.COM Engline Ground Running NECLICIBLE LOADS TaxyIng 19 4.9 2.0 1.4 1.0 2 mins 124 77 - 7 78 - 5 22.5 16.0 9.5 5.0 2.7 1.4 1•0 1.0 4 2.0 5.0 13.3 8.7 6.5 4.4 5 2 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 115 24 24 24 67 m Cirruit 10-01 14.8 5.7 4.0 2.0 **•** 1.0 0.1 8 6 4 R CV 8 167 169 169 169 169 169 169 13.5 10.5 9•5 18.7 6.6 3.9 3.0 2.4 2.4 1.4 1.0 1.0 No. 1 170 Kts 17.5 8.6 45 32 23.7 12.2 6.0 4.8 2.8 1.0 **B B C B** 26.3 22.9 10.0 7.5 18.6 14.3 6**.**5 4.7 4.0 3.0 2.4 2.0 1.0 1.0 170 8 8 8 F 8 7 3 145 35 35 35 35 16.2 16.2 12.9 10.9 4.6 10.0 8.8 7.0 2.4 2.0 1.4 1.0 1.0 .. Turbulance 145 23+8 18.6 15.5 13.4 10.2 4.0 3.4 2•0 5 1.0 1.0 19 10 12 13 13 19 12 13 13 13 is Exceeded 10.2 145 8.0 14.3 6.3 0°5 4.5 3.4 1.7 0 1.0 •• 2 3 4 88 <u>§</u> 71 45 30 30 15 5.5 5.5 130 4.4 1.4 2.7 Range Load 28.5 4.5 1.7 11.7 ø Times 6.4 2.5 1.0 **r**--റ്റ ٥Ľ 3 Number 24,3 10.8 16.7 6,8 3.0 v 11.8 22.6 3.4 Take-off ŝ 11.8 4.5 1.8 4 ଝ୍ଷ 16.2 8.5 4.6 1.8 m 9.6 7.3 1.8 25.1 N 35 21 22 2.9 2.4 2.4 2.4 2.4 No. 1 24.3 13.7 7.0 3.8 0.8 ø 13.8 5.2 0.8 ~ 2 36 15.9 6.2 1.7 1.0 1.0 ψ 3.6 **43** 27.1 16.2 ഹ Landing 30 21.5 11-7 5.5 3.2 **7**4 37 3.8 5.6 1.2 3.8 m œ 4 4 0

- 12 -

		0	40 A	}	କ୍ଷ	18	10.1		m	୍ 	৾৾৾																
		No. 1	0	\$	R	19.8	11.5	7.2	4.6	1.7	•••										_						
1 Range	+ 12 pull- out B.M.		0-081		0.122	0.162	0.203	0.243	0.284	0.324	0.364	0.405	0.446	0.486	0.526	0.567	0.608	0.648	0.689	0.729	0-770	0.810	0.851	168.0	0.932	0.972	
Loau	tons Ins.		6. P		<b>1</b> 04	53	173	808	242	217	311	346	381	415	450	484	519	554	88; 88;	623	657	695	121	761	962	830	

TABLE III
Bending Homent Cycles
15
Wing
Outboard
2
Engline
(Pos. 5

ļ

ţ

•	-										7	humber	of Time	as foad	e žurgy	18 Exo	eeded							1
They bridge				Len	ding							Take	off						Tur	bulence	10		~ -	
tors ins +ig pull out B.M.	ž	2		A	 ت	6	7	60	Z		23	*	5	თ	7	<del></del>	13	145	5 145	145	170	170 kts	No.	N
	;		;	:	5	ñ	Ŕ	ថ	~	8	5121.2	41	4	8	37	28	e B	94	131	8	157	141	68	
43.3 0.082	Ê	- <del>7</del>	ť	1	ý			; ```	2 1			2	5 0	Ĵ л	о <u>л</u>	1 0	41	61	86	45	117	97	57	
65-0 0-123	35	44	34	27	44	δ	34	18.9	2	 15	5-1 11-3	21.5	16.2	17.5	24.5	11.y		9	ş		}	} : 	5	
86.6 0.164	8	30	21.5	15-8	ž	19-5	18.8	11.3		9-9 6	5.7 5.2	11 5	7.6	12.3	15 5	5.4	22	39	63	35	92	72	40	
108 0.205	14.	7	=	9.5	22	10.6	6.3	6.2		5 7	5.4 2.0	5.4	5.0	9.3	6.4	1.0	20.1	27	6	25.7	66	57	8	
130 0.246	 	2 7.0	4.8	<u>~</u>	12.5	3.8	2.2	ะ 6		2.5	-7	1.7	2.4	7.5	0.7	<u> </u>	14.	21	37	19.8	51	40	19.	œ
151 0.267	<u>.                                    </u>	<u>ភ</u> បុ	4 1 2	1.4	5. 8	1.4				8.0			2.0	5.8		<u> </u>	8	5 16.4	26	17.4	39	8	13.	4
173 0.328	4	7 0.1	1. 1.		N 12	•							0.8	2.6			, S	9 12.	7 19.8	13•3	32	21.9	.6	Ň
105 0-360	 v	<u> </u>		<u></u>									· · · · · ·	1.0			4.	9	3 16.9	10.5	23.5	16.0	 ق	N
515 0 410		ז כ 												1.0			2.6	7.0	0 14-3	8.8 8	18.5	11.7	ų	7
																	1.	0 4.(	0 11.3	6.9	15-2	8.1	Ň	4
					<u></u>													3	8.2	6.0	11.1	5.4	 	4
281 0.533					<u></u> .													3.(	5.0	4.8	8.4	3.2	 	0
202 0 514	<u>.</u> .																	2.	4 4.0	3.4	6.9	2.0		0
																		2.0	<u> </u>	1.8	5.0	1.4		
ر ان بن ا																		2.0	2.4	1.0	4.0			
346 0.656									<u>.</u>										v 0		2.4			
368 0.697										.,, <b>-</b>												-		
390 0.738											<b></b>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>								Ň			
411 0-779																		1.0	1.0	1.0	~			
433 0.820																			·		2.0			
455 0.861																					· 1			
476 0.902				<u>.</u>						.,	<u> </u>													····
498 0.943												<b></b>									-			
															 					<u> </u>		 		1

ं	4	4	å	0	ů	ů	Ň				3	8
	1.0	1.4	2.8 8	5. 5	9.5	21.5	31	41	6	100	4	6
					-				<u> </u>			
						0.8	8-1	5,3	8	71	2 mins	Taxying
 						NEGL			LOAD			Bog
						GIBLE			64			ng ine nound

Engine Ground	¥					LOADS		NEGLIGIBLE															
Tarying	- 2 町 US	ŝ	84	24	9.9	2.2									_		~						
Ť.,	4			 83		6.5 -	7.8	3.3	8.5	5.2	4.0	5.5											·
cult	m	53	<del>ي</del> ۔	 55	 	3.5	7.0 1	3.3 1	8.0	6.0	4.8	3.4	2.0	4							<u> </u>	<b>.</b>	<u> </u>
GIT	No.2	116	74	46	58	19.5	14.2	9.4	8-9	5.4	2.3	1.0											
4 7 4 1 4 71	17 <u>0</u> 170				 ~	 æ	 N		<b>4</b> .2	8.6	0.0	7.7	8		0,	<u>م</u>	4	0	0	0			
14 11 11	120	23	32	5 10		~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	 	۳۹ 	ته  ص		ي. ح	3.5	2.0		.5 4	5-	4 1	بر آ	41	4	4		
nce	145	<u>8</u>	78 15	- <u></u>	3.6 10	3.0 7	4.6	<b>د</b> •3_4	<u>6.3</u>		5 8 8	1.2	5.0	12	**	-8-	0.	0	•0	- 0.	~~~~~	~~~~~	
urbule	145	26 1:	 	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	<u>ار ا</u>	 57		 0	3.8	9•2	с. С	<u>ل</u> م الم	с, С	0-2	<u>د</u>	4°0	3.4	2.4	10	•4 1			
<b>F</b>	145	47 2	 	 83		 	21.4	16.5 <u>3</u>	13.9	1.4	9-4	َــًا د د	5.0	4.4	2.8	5.0	0.0	1.4	0			. <u>.</u>	
	130	1 72	65	4	20	5	14.3	1.6	7.5	5.8	3.7	1.4	1.0	1.0									
	8	101	23	15.4	6.5	3.4	0.7																
	2	8	39	50	7.3	2.3		··															
	9	6	*	18.6	8°0	3.0	- 1-2						<b>.</b>										_
Ke-off	2	102	35	23.4	10	ເຊ ທີ່	27	1.4			-												
Ta	4	106	69	36	5 18.5	10.1		2.7	5.0	5										_			
1	<b>n</b>	8	42	ଷ		2.0		~					·						<u> </u>				<b></b>
	~	89	65	52		ń	- 			0.1.0		c	0					<u> </u>					
	- CN	8	<u>ዳ</u>	 			~	4					<b>*</b>	<u>_</u>			<u></u>			<u> </u>			
	æ	2	33	14.3	5.8	1.7	1.0								······								
	~	6	5	33	18,5	95	6.5	ي. 4	3.7	3.0	2.4	1.4	1.0										
	9	112	63	35	15.8	5-1-	2.4	2.0	1.4			<u> </u>							·	<u> </u>			
ling	5	107	23	2	32	18.7	12.1	2•0	2.4	1.4	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	••	1.0							
Land	4	٩	40	24.5	11.9	6.2	3+7	1.7									·						
	5	114	87	52	34	20.9	:	6.2	4.4	3.4	2.4	1.4									<u> </u>		

TECHNICAL LIBRARY ABBOTTAEROSPAGE.COM

TABLE IV - Bending Noment Cycles in Outer Wing (Pos.3)

~

-

4

			~	191		118	52	4	20-2	10.5	6.2	2.2											_			
			No. 1	5		22	48	29.5	R	14.2	7.5	4.4	2.8	0.8				_								
Range	÷ 1g pull-	out B.M.		0.078	4	0.118	0.157	0.196	0.235	0.274	0.314	0.353	0.392	0-431	0.470	0.510	0.549	0.588	0.627	0.666	0 706	0.745	0.784	0.823	0.862	0.902
Load	tons ins			4.29		6.44	8°9	10.7	12.9	15.0	17.2	19.3	21.4	23.6	25.7	<i>51</i> 9	30.0	32.2	34.3	36.5	38.6	40.7	42.9	45.0	47.2	49.3



- ---

TABLE 7 - Acceleration Cycles at Arrcraft C.G.

12.6 °0 ℃ 1.4 -\$ 43 11.8 7.2 2.4 17.4 М Circuit 14.6 7.2 2.0 1.0 N 65 17.9 5.0 No.1 9.7 2.7 **1.**↓ ର୍ଷ 47 16.2 6.8 3.0 1.4 170 kts 25 65 Times Acceleration Range is Exceeded 11.7 5.2 2.7 2.0 1.4 23.7 170 65 39 5.2 15.5 8.4 3.4 1 2 Turbulence 145 30 28.3 16,2 7.0 3**.**0 1.4 145 64 14.5 7.0 2**.**8 0.8 4•4 145 20 9.1 3.6 130 3 Number of 4.9 -**†**•-17.5 9 Take-off 10.1 No .5 19•4 5.9 3**.**8 1.7 1.0 4•3 1.5 ~ 32 ŝ Š Landing 0.8 22.5 10.5 7•4 9 4,8 20.8 No.5 9.1 4.0 2.4 2.0 **1.**+ 65 37 Acceleration Range 0.2 0.3 0.5 0.4 0.6 0.8 **б.**0 0.7 50 -15 --

#### B DOCUMENT PROVIDED BY THE ABBOTT AEROSPACE TECHNICAL LIBRARY ABBOTTAEROSPACE.COM



## TABLE VI - Accelerations and wing bending moments in landing impacts

Landing No.	Max. c.g. acceleration	Max, wing r Wing root	root B.M. ÷ 1g pull Outb'd of engine	-out B.M. Outer wing
	g			
9	0.68	0.13	0.17	0.44
10	0.55	0.07	0.06	0.46
3	0.49	0.22	0.17	0.56
5	0.33	0.11	0•19	0.18
7	0.33	0.06	0.06	0,20
6	0.33	0.33	0.11	0.18
11	0 <b>.3</b> 2	0.03	0.04	0.12
12	0.19	0.09	0.09	0.20

Maximum c.g. accelerations and wing root B.M.'s in initial impact

Maximum c.g. acceleration cycles and wing B.	M. cycles in initial impact
--	-----------------------------

Landing No.	Max. c.g. acceleration range	Max. wing Wing root	B.M. range + 1g pul   Outb'd of engine	l-out B.M. Outer wing
	g			
9	0.81	0.30	0.31	0.70
10	1.17	0.34	0.27	0.86
3	0.81	0.38	0.33	1.02
5	0.76	0.52	0.58	0.74
7	0.60	0.26	0.29	0.60
6	0.46	0.33	0.32	0.54
11	0.36	0•14	0.23	0.32
12	0.46	0.14	0.15	0.33





FIG. I. STRAIN GAUGE POSITIONS IN WING.



TECHNICAL LIBRARY





NOTE:- THE ULTIMATE B.M. IS ESTIMATED FOR EACH SECTION STRAIN GAUGED ON THE ASSUMPTION THAT THE MAXIMUM BOOM FIBRE STRESS EQUALS THE ALLOWABLE COMPRESSIVE STRESS.

FIG. 3. RATE OF OCCURRENCE OF WING LOAD RANGES. (TOTAL LOAD RANGES FOR TYPICAL FLIGHT SCALED UP FROM 33 MIN. TO I HOUR)





RANGES & C.G. ACCELERATION RANGES EXCEEDED THE SAME NUMBER OF TIMES IN TURBULENCE.





WING ROOT BM. POSM 6



WING BM OUTBOARD OF ENGINE POS 5



OUTER WING BM

FIG. 5. RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN WING LOAD RANGES AND C.G. ACCELERATION RANGES EXCEEDED THE SAME NUMBER OF TIMES IN VARIOUS CONDITIONS.



TAIL LOADS



FIG.8. TYPICAL RECORD OF LOADS IN TURBULENCE









Crown copyright reserved Published by HER MAJESTY'S STATIONERY OFFICE To be purchased from York House, Kingsway, London w c 2 423 Oxford Street, London w.1 PO Box 569, London s.E.1 13A Castle Street, Edinburgh 2 109 St Mary Street, Cardiff 39 King Street, Manchester 2 Tower Lane, Bristol 1 2 Edmund Street, Birmingham 3 80 Chichester Street, Belfast or through any bookseller

PRINTED IN GREAT BRITAIN

S.O. Code No. 23-9009-85

C.P. No. 285